

Noise Study Report

**Florida Department of Transportation
District 5**

**US 17/92 PD&E Study
from Ivy Mist Lane to Avenue A
Osceola County, Florida
Financial Project ID No.: 437200-2**

July 2025

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and FDOT.

Noise Study Report

**Florida Department of Transportation
District 5**

**US 17/92 PD&E Study
from Ivy Mist Lane to Avenue A
Osceola County, Florida
Financial Project ID No.: 437200-2**

July 2025



Prepared By:
Environmental Transportation Planning, LLC
Alachua, FL

In Coordination With:
VHB, Inc. and Kimley-Horn, Inc.
Orlando, FL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District Five is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate alternatives to widen US 17/92 from the existing two-lane roadway to a four-lane divided roadway from Ivy Mist Lane to Avenue A, a distance of 3.8 miles, in Osceola County as illustrated in **Figure 1**. This project traverses through the community of Poinciana and the unincorporated community of Intercession City. A prior Corridor Planning Study of US 17/92 from County Road (CR) 54 (Ronald Reagan Parkway) in Polk County to 1,900 feet west of Poinciana Boulevard at Avenue A in Osceola County was completed in 2018.

Two related projects overlap the western end of this PD&E Study:

- The segment of US 17/92 from west of Parker Road in Polk County to Ivy Mist Lane in Osceola County is included in the Central Florida Expressway Authority's (CFX) State Road (SR) 538/Poinciana Parkway Extension to CR 532 project, which is under construction. The SR 538/Poinciana Parkway Extension project will include the widening of US 17/92 within these limits and a new diverging diamond interchange with US 17/92 southwest of Ivy Mist Lane, as shown in teal in Figure 1.
- Adjacent to the western end of the PD&E Study (shown in dark green in Figure 1) is a CFX study evaluating the widening of CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road from two to four lanes from Old Lake Wilson Road to US 17/92. This project is under construction.

At the eastern limits of this PD&E Study, FDOT District 5 recently completed the widening of US 17/92 from two to four lanes, for the limits from 1,900 feet west of Poinciana Boulevard (Avenue A) to CR 535 (Ham Brown Road) in Kissimmee (FPID: 239714-1). The project, completed in 2022, is shown in purple in Figure 1.

This project aims to provide needed capacity through the design year 2045, enhance regional connectivity, and improve safety conditions along the study corridor. The project is needed to meet future traffic demand, provide satisfactory future traffic operations, improve corridor access management, and improve safety along the corridor. The full project design layout is illustrated in **Appendix D**.

The Predicted Noise Levels comparison matrix in **Appendix C** of this Noise Study Report (NSR) presents the traffic noise impact analysis conducted for 167 noise sensitive sites (receptors) for the 2019 existing condition and the 2045 No-Build and Build Alternatives. The analysis results predicted that 31 residential receptors and one church would meet or exceed the FDOT Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) using the 2019 traffic data. The highest existing noise level is 70.9 decibels (dB(A)) at four residences that front US 17/92 in Intercession City. As part of the No-Build Alternative, the three adjacent planned projects are considered built: Poinciana Parkway Extension and interchange with US 17/92, the CR 532 improvement, and the recently completed widening of US 17/92 east of Avenue A. The No-Build Alternative is predicted to have two fewer residential noise impacts than the existing condition.

widening of US 17/92 east of Avenue A. The No-Build Alternative is predicted to have two fewer residential noise impacts than the existing condition.

Compared to the existing condition, the proposed project will increase exterior noise levels within the study corridor by an average of 1.9 dB(A), with the greatest increase [9.4 dB(A)] occurring at a residence near the planned Poinciana Parkway Extension interchange. While none of the noise increases are considered substantial (i.e., 15 dB(A) or more over existing levels), project noise levels are predicted to meet or exceed the NAC at 38 residential receptors and the Intercession City Church. The highest noise level is 73.6 dB(A) at four Intercession City residences.

To mitigate the 39 project impacts, noise barriers were considered as an abatement measure. For a noise barrier to be considered feasible, the barrier must meet the minimum acoustic feasibility requirement of 5.0 dB(A) in noise reduction at two impacted receptors. Five of the impacted residential receptors are considered "isolated." Therefore, noise abatement at those locations cannot meet this minimum requirement.

For the remaining 33 impacted residential receptors and at the Intercession City Church, noise barriers cannot be constructed with sufficient lengths to meet the 5.0 dB(A) minimum acoustic reduction requirement due to engineering constraints caused by numerous driveways and side streets. Consequently, the abatement evaluation concluded that noise barriers are not feasible for this project.

STATEMENT OF LIKELIHOOD

Based on the noise analyses performed to date, no feasible and reasonable solutions are available to mitigate the noise impacts on the 39 noise sensitive sites identified as impacted in **Appendix C**.

During the project's design phase, a land use review will be performed to identify noise sensitive sites that may have received a building permit after the noise study but before the project's Date of Public Knowledge. If the review identifies noise sensitive sites that have been permitted prior to the Date of Public Knowledge, those sites will be evaluated for traffic noise impacts and abatement considerations. The date that the project's Type 2 Categorical Exclusion is approved by FDOT's Office of Environmental Management (OEM) will be the Date of Public Knowledge.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY i

STATEMENT OF LIKELIHOOD.....ii

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION..... 1

1.1 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS 2

1.2 NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE 3

2.0 METHODOLOGY 3

2.1 NOISE METRICS 3

2.2 TRAFFIC DATA 4

2.3 NOISE ABATEMENT CRITERIA 4

2.4 NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES..... 6

2.4.1 Traffic Management 6

2.4.2 Alignment Modifications..... 6

2.4.3 Buffer Zones..... 7

2.4.4 Noise Barriers..... 7

2.4.4.1 Feasibility Factors..... 7

2.4.4.2 Reasonableness Factors..... 8

2.4.4.3 Non-Residential Barrier Analysis 8

3.0 TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS..... 8

3.1 MODEL VALIDATION 9

3.2 PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS AND ABATEMENT ANALYSIS..... 10

3.2.1 Noise Study Area 1..... 11

3.2.2 Noise Study Area 2..... 11

3.2.2.1 NSA 2 Noise Abatement Consideration 12

3.2.3 Noise Study Areas 3 and 4 12

3.2.4 Noise Study Area 5..... 12

3.2.4.1 NSA 5 Noise Abatement Consideration 12

3.2.5 Noise Study Area 6..... 13

3.2.5.1 NSA 6 Noise Abatement Consideration 13

4.0 CONCLUSIONS..... 14

4.1 STATEMENT OF LIKELIHOOD..... 14

5.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION 14

6.0 COMMUNITY COORDINATION..... 15

7.0 REFERENCES..... 18

Appendix A: Typical Sections

Appendix B: Project Traffic Data

Appendix C: Predicted Noise Levels

Appendix D: Project Aerials

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Noise Abatement Criteria 5

Table 2: Comparative Noise Levels 6

Table 3: TNM Validation Results 10

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Project Location Map 2

Figure 2: Noise Impact Contours (45 MPH Segments) 16

Figure 3: Noise Impact Contours (Intercession City - 30 MPH Segment) 17

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District Five is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate alternatives to widen US 17/92 from the existing two-lane roadway to a four-lane divided roadway from Ivy Mist Lane to Avenue A, a distance of 3.8 miles, in Osceola County as illustrated in **Figure 1**. This project traverses through the community of Poinciana and the unincorporated community of Intercession City. A prior Corridor Planning Study of US 17/92 from County Road (CR) 54 (Ronald Reagan Parkway) in Polk County to 1,900 feet west of Poinciana Boulevard at Avenue A in Osceola County was completed in 2018.


Two related projects overlap the western end of this PD&E Study:

- The segment of US 17/92 from west of Parker Road in Polk County to Ivy Mist Lane in Osceola County is included in the Central Florida Expressway Authority's (CFX) State Road (SR) 538/Poinciana Parkway Extension to CR 532 project, which is under construction. The SR 538/Poinciana Parkway Extension project will include the widening of US 17/92 within these limits and a proposed diverging diamond interchange with US 17/92 southwest of Ivy Mist Lane, as shown in teal in Figure 1.
- Adjacent to the western end of the PD&E Study (shown in dark green in Figure 1) is a CFX study evaluating widening CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road from two to four lanes from Old Lake Wilson Road to US 17/92. This project is under construction.

At the eastern limits of this PD&E Study, FDOT District 5 recently completed the widening of US 17/92 from two to four lanes, for the limits from 1,900 feet west of Poinciana Boulevard (Avenue A) to CR 535 (Ham Brown Road) in Kissimmee (FPID: 239714-1). The project, completed in 2022, is shown in purple in **Figure 1**.

The purpose of this project is to provide needed capacity through the design year 2045, enhance regional connectivity, and improve safety conditions along the study corridor. The project is needed to meet future traffic demand, provide satisfactory future traffic operations, improve corridor access management, and improve safety along the corridor.

Figure 1: Project Location Map



1.1 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

The project's purpose is to widen the existing two-lane roadway to a four-lane divided roadway with median openings to improve access management. The Preferred Build Alternative adds a continuous 12-foot shared-use path along both sides of the study corridor, with the exception of the Reedy Creek Bridge, due to constraints along the existing bridge. A pedestrian crossing will be provided at the Osceola Polk Line Road and Old Tampa Highway intersections to provide pedestrians with a crossing over US 17/92 to the shared-use path to reduce the footprint within Intercession City, 10-foot urban side paths will be provided along both sides of the roadway. Illustrations of the proposed typical sections are included in **Appendix A**.

The Build Alternative also involves retaining the existing bridge over Reedy Creek to serve as the eastbound traffic lanes and adding a new bridge over Reedy Creek to serve as the westbound traffic lanes. The westbound bridge will have a 12-foot-wide shared-use path for the use of pedestrians and bicyclists traveling in both directions. In addition to the widening and multimodal improvements along US 17/92, this project includes intersection improvements at CR 532, Old Tampa Highway, and Avenue A. Five stormwater pond site locations have been recommended as part of the Preferred Alternative. The full project design layout is illustrated in **Appendix D**.

1.2 NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Consistent with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) guidelines, this analysis also considers an alternative that assesses what would happen to the environment in the future if this proposed improvement was not built. This alternative, called the No-Build Alternative, does not meet project needs but provides a baseline condition to compare and measure the proposed project's effects. Illustrations of the existing US 17/92 typical sections are included in **Appendix A**.

The No-Build Alternative assumes no improvements, such as additional traffic lanes or other improvements, will be made within the study area, except for programmed improvements to nearby or adjacent facilities. For this project, the No-Build Alternative includes the recently completed widening of US 17/92 from Avenue A to CR 535 (FPID: 239714-1) to four lanes, the programmed SR 538/Poinciana Parkway Extension and interchange, and the CR 532 widening.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The traffic noise study was conducted in accordance with Title 23, *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), § 772¹, Part II, Chapter 18 of the FDOT *Project Development and Environment Manual* ², and Chapter 335, Section 335.17, *Florida Statutes* ³. This assessment also adheres to the FHWA traffic noise analysis guidelines in *FHWA-HEP-10-025* ⁴. The FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM) - version 2.5 was used to predict traffic noise levels for this project following guidelines outlined in the FDOT *Traffic Noise Modeling and Analysis Practitioners Handbook* ⁵. The analysis evaluated noise levels for the 2019 Existing Condition and the 2045 No-Build and Build Alternatives.

Noise receptor coordinates used in the TNM are located in exterior areas where frequent human use may occur, usually at the edge of the residential structure closest to the project roadways, unless the analyst's professional judgment determines otherwise.

The MicroStation design files, georeferenced to the ortho-rectified 2021 State Plane imagery for Orange County and 2020 imagery for Osceola County, were used to determine the proposed alternative's location for input into TNM. Elevation data for noise receptors and existing roadways were obtained from the project's engineering plans and Google Earth⁶.

2.1 NOISE METRICS

Noise levels developed for this analysis are expressed in decibels (dB) using an "A"-scale weighting expressed as dB(A). This scale most closely approximates the response characteristics of the human ear to typical traffic noise levels. All reported noise levels are hourly equivalent noise levels [Leq(h)]. The Leq(h) is defined as the equivalent steady-state sound level that, in a given hourly period, contains the same acoustic energy as the time-varying sound level for the same hourly period.

2.2 TRAFFIC DATA

Traffic noise is heavily dependent on traffic volume and speed, with the amount of noise generated by traffic increasing as the vehicle speed and number of vehicles increase. Characteristics contributing to the 2045 Design Year's highest traffic noise levels were used to predict project noise levels. Worst-case noise conditions occur with the maximum traffic traveling at the posted speed and represent a Level of Service (LOS) C operating condition. However, if the traffic analysis indicates the roadway will operate below LOS C, the project's Demand peak-hour directional traffic volumes are used per Chapter 18 of the FDOT PD&E Manual. Traffic volumes and speeds used in the analysis are included in **Appendix B**.

2.3 NOISE ABATEMENT CRITERIA

Land use also plays an important role in traffic noise analyses. Noise sensitive receptors are any property where frequent exterior human use occurs and where a lowered noise level would provide a benefit. The FHWA has established noise levels at which noise abatement must be considered for various types of land uses. As shown in **Table 1**, these levels are used to evaluate traffic noise and are referred to as Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC). The FDOT requires noise abatement consideration for noise levels that approach the FHWA criteria by one dB(A) for the corresponding Activity Category. Another criterion for determining project impacts that warrant abatement consideration occurs when project noise levels are below the NAC but show a substantial increase (15.0 dB(A) or more) over existing levels.

Table 1: Noise Abatement Criteria

Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level-decibels (dB(A))				Description of Activity Category
Activity Category	Activity Leq(h) ¹		Evaluation Location	
	FHWA	FDOT		
A	57.0	56.0	Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need, and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
B ²	67.0	66.0	Exterior	Residential.
C ²	67.0	66.0	Exterior	Active sports areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries, daycare centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public/nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreational areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings.
D	52.0	51.0	Interior	Auditoriums, daycare centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public/nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios.
E ²	72.0	71.0	Exterior	Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties, or activities not included in A-D or F.
F	-	-	-	Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, shipyards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical), and warehousing.
G	-	-	-	Undeveloped lands that are not permitted.
(Based on Table 1 of 23 CFR Part 772 and FDOT PD&E Manual Chapter 18)				
¹ The Leq(h) Activity Criteria values are for impact determination only and are not design standards for noise abatement measures.				
² Includes undeveloped lands permitted for this activity category.				
Note: FDOT defines that a substantial noise increase occurs when the existing noise level is predicted to be exceeded by 15 decibels or more as a result of the transportation improvement project. When this occurs, the requirement for abatement consideration will be followed.				

An illustration of typical exterior and interior noises and their corresponding decibel reading is presented in **Table 2**. This table gives the reader a better understanding of the noise levels discussed herein.

Table 2: Comparative Noise Levels

Common Outdoor Activities	dB(A)	Common Indoor Activities
Jet Flyover at 1,000 ft.	-110-	Rock Band
Gas Lawn Mower at 3 ft.	-100-	
Diesel Truck at 50 ft. (at 50 MPH)	-90-	Food Blender at 3 ft. Garbage Disposal at 3 ft.
Busy Urban Area Daytime	-80-	
Gas Mower at 100 ft.	-70-	Vacuum Cleaner at 10 ft. Normal Speech at 3 ft.
Commercial Area Heavy Traffic at 300 ft.	-60-	
Quiet Urban Daytime	-50-	Dishwasher Next Room
Quiet Urban Nighttime Quiet Suburban Nighttime	-40-	
Quiet Rural Nighttime	-30-	Library
	-20-	
Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing	-10-	Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing
	-0-	

Source: California Dept. of Transportation Technical Noise Supplement, September 2013, Page 2-20.

2.4 NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES

Noise abatement measures are considered when traffic noise impacts are identified as part of the traffic noise analysis. Potential abatement alternatives may include traffic management techniques, alternative roadway alignments, buffer zones, and noise barriers.

2.4.1 Traffic Management

Traffic management measures that limit motor vehicle speeds and reduce volumes can be effective as a noise mitigation option. However, these measures may also negate a project's ability to meet its stated purpose and need. Consequently, while feasible, traffic management measures are not considered a reasonable noise mitigation measure for this project.

2.4.2 Alignment Modifications

The proposed project follows the same alignment as the existing facility. Several widening alternatives were considered, and the preferred alternative was selected to minimize the additional right-of-way (ROW) needs. Further alignment modification is not feasible.

2.4.3 Buffer Zones

Noise buffer zones that separate the roadway and noise sensitive land uses can minimize or eliminate noise impacts. This measure requires local land use planning not currently in place within the project corridor. Because the noise impact analysis applies to existing land uses, buffer zones are not an applicable abatement measure at this time. However, for any new development or redevelopment occurring in the future, local planning authorities can use the noise contour information provided in **Section 6.0** of this NSR to establish buffer zones, thereby minimizing or avoiding noise impacts on future sensitive land uses.

2.4.4 Noise Barriers

Due to the limited ROW and proposed typical section, noise barrier walls are the only measure considered for this project. The following feasibility and reasonableness factors must be evaluated when evaluating noise barriers.

2.4.4.1 Feasibility Factors

As summarized below, the FDOT PD&E Manual stipulates that a noise barrier must meet acoustic and engineering criteria to be considered feasible.

1. Acoustic feasibility: The barrier must provide a minimum of 5.0 dB(A) reduction in traffic noise for at least two impacted receptors. Consequently, noise barriers are not evaluated for isolated and single-impacted receptors.
2. Engineering feasibility: The engineering review identifies whether other factors must be evaluated for the barrier to be considered feasible.
 - a. Safety: If a conflict between a noise barrier and safety exists, primary consideration must be given to safety. An example of such a conflict is losing a safe sight distance (line of sight) at an intersection or driveway resulting from a noise barrier placement.
 - b. Accessibility to adjacent properties: The noise barrier placement cannot block ingress and egress on non-limited access roadways. Other access issues to be considered include access to a local sidewalk or normal routes of travel. Neither applies to noise barriers on limited-access roadways.
 - c. Right-of-way needs: Does the noise barrier require additional land, access rights, or easements for construction and maintenance?
 - d. Maintenance: Maintenance crews must have reasonable access to both sides of the barrier for personnel and equipment using standard practices.
 - e. Drainage: Does the barrier impact existing or planned drainage?
 - f. Utilities: Does the barrier impact existing utilities?

2.4.4.2 Reasonableness Factors

If a noise barrier meets the feasibility criteria, the following reasonableness factors must collectively be achieved for the noise abatement measure to be deemed reasonable.

1. Acoustic reasonableness: The barrier must attain the FDOT noise reduction design goal (NRDG) of 7.0 dB(A) for at least one benefited receptor. (Note: to be considered "benefited," the receptor must receive a minimum of 5.0 dB(A) in traffic noise reduction from the barrier.) Failure to achieve the NRDG results in the noise abatement measure being deemed not reasonable.
2. Cost reasonableness: Using the current \$40.00 per square foot statewide average, a cost of \$64,000 per benefited receptor is considered the upper limit for cost-reasonableness.
3. Benefited property owner and resident viewpoints: During project development, FDOT solicits the opinion of benefited owners and residents regarding noise abatement. Affected owners and residents are given the opportunity to provide input regarding their desires to have the proposed noise abatement measure constructed. This process aims to obtain a response for or against the noise barrier from a majority of respondents to the survey. The noise barrier is not deemed reasonable if a majority consensus is not obtained in favor of the barrier.

2.4.4.3 Non-Residential Barrier Analysis

The methodology used to evaluate noise barrier systems for non-residential, special land use (SLU) sites differs from those used for residential locations. The standard procedure for determining the feasibility and reasonableness of a noise barrier for an SLU site is documented in Methodology to Evaluate Traffic Noise at Special Land Uses (FDOT 2024). In this methodology, SLUs are assigned an Equivalent Residence (ER) based on the person-hours of use at the SLU in order to evaluate the reasonableness and feasibility of a noise barrier.

3.0 TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS

The traffic noise analysis includes noise model validation and prediction of noise levels for the 2019 Existing Condition and the 2045 No-Build and Build Alternatives. A field review on August 23, 2022, verified existing noise-sensitive sites within the project limits. Using **Table 1** as a guide, most noise sensitive land uses within the study corridor fall under Activity Category B - Residential. Activity Category C land uses are also in the project corridor, including several churches, the Aspire Health Rehabilitation Center, and the Muslim Cemetery. Analysis of interior (Category D) noise levels is not required for this project, as all Category C locations have areas of exterior use. The one Activity Category E land use is the Ebenezer Nursery and Landscaping commercial business. While Activity Category F land uses are in the project corridor, this is not considered a noise sensitive activity and is not included in this analysis. No land uses in the study corridor warrant an Activity Category A analysis.

There are pockets of Activity Category G undeveloped land within the study corridor. A permit search of vacant properties was conducted to identify active building permits for noise sensitive

land uses. As of April 1, 2025, no such permits were discovered. If a future noise sensitive land use receives a building permit before the project's Date of Public Knowledge and after the date of this report, it will be assessed for traffic noise impacts during the project's final design phase of development.

3.1 MODEL VALIDATION

On August 23, 2022, a series of three 10-minute sound measurements were collected using an Extech Instruments Model 407780 Type 2 Integrating Sound Level Meter to verify the accuracy of the computer noise model (TNM 2.5). The meter, calibrated with an Extech Instruments Model 407766 calibrator, was adjusted to the A-weighted frequency scale, which approximates the frequency sensitivity of the human ear. Traffic data, including vehicle volumes and speeds by type, and meteorological conditions, were recorded during each measurement session. The data collection effort also recorded the travel speed for each type of vehicle using a Bushnell Speedster handheld radar gun.

One location within the study corridor was selected to undergo a series of three 10-minute measurements. The validation site, illustrated on page **D7** in Appendix D, is located in Intercession City adjacent to the US 17/92 westbound (WB) lane. The predominant noise source at this location is the roadway. During the monitoring session, the temperature ranged from 88 to 89 degrees under clear skies, 68% humidity, and winds out of the South/Southeast at 2 MPH. No unusual noise events occurred during the three 10-minute sessions at this location.

Validation of the TNM occurs when the model-predicted noise levels are within three decibels of the field-measured levels. As shown in **Table 3**, TNM predicted within the 3.0-decibel acceptance range for each 10-minute session. Consequently, the model is acceptable for predicting noise levels on this project.

Table 3: TNM Validation Results

Validation Date: 8/23/22										
Run 1: Start-10:22 AM										
US 17/92	Cars		Medium Trucks		Heavy Trucks		Buses		Motorcycles	
	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed
WB	105	45	10	43	8	42	0	0	1	48
EB	102	45	14	43	6	42	0	0	0	0
Field Measurement (dB(A)):					68.1					
TNM Prediction (dB(A)):					69.8					
Variance (dB(A)):					1.7					
Run 2: Start-10:35 AM										
US 17/92	Cars		Medium Trucks		Heavy Trucks		Buses		Motorcycles	
	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed
WB	95	46	3	39	12	45	0	0	0	0
EB	105	46	11	39	10	45	0	0	0	0
Field Measurement (dB(A)):					68.1					
TNM Prediction (dB(A)):					70.1					
Variance (dB(A)):					2.0					
Run 3: Start- 10:45 AM										
US 17/92	Cars		Medium Trucks		Heavy Trucks		Buses		Motorcycles	
	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed	Vol. Count	Avg. Speed
WB	82	45	5	41	6	43	0	0	0	0
EB	107	45	9	41	10	43	0	0	1	45
Field Measurement (dB(A)):					67.6					
TNM Prediction (dB(A)):					69.1					
Variance (dB(A)):					1.5					

3.2 PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS AND ABATEMENT ANALYSIS

For this project, a total of 167 noise sensitive sites were evaluated for project-related noise impacts. Due to the number of receptors, the analysis divided the study corridor into six Noise Study Areas (NSA). Each analyzed residential receptor is identified by NSA number, and then a sequential numbering, i.e., 2-1 refers to NSA 2, receptor #1. The Activity Category C and E receptors are considered special land uses for purposes of the noise analysis and are referred to by SLU(NSA#)(sequential #)(activity category), i.e., SLU2-1C.

The reporting of project noise levels was further simplified by using receptors representing similar adjacent noise sensitive sites. The grouping within a representative receptor is referred to as a Common Noise Environment (CNE), which FDOT defines as a group of receptors within the same Activity Category exposed to similar noise sources and levels, traffic volumes, traffic mix, speed, and topographic features. There may be several CNEs within one NSA.

The analysis results discussed in this section for the 2019 existing condition, the 2045 No-Build Alternative, and the 2045 Build Alternative are also provided in **Appendix C**. The analysis predicted that 31 residential receptors and one church would have noise levels that meet or exceed the FDOT NAC using the 2019 existing traffic data. The highest existing noise level is 70.9 dB(A) at four residences that front US 17/92 in Intercession City.

As part of the No-Build and Build Alternatives, the three adjacent planned projects are considered built: Poinciana Parkway Extension and interchange with US 17/92, the CR 532 improvement, and the recent widening of US 17/92 east of Avenue A. The No-Build Alternative is predicted to have two fewer residential noise impacts than the existing condition.

Compared to the existing condition, the proposed project will increase exterior noise levels within the study corridor an average of 1.9 dB(A), with the greatest increase [9.4 dB(A)] occurring at a residence near the planned Poinciana Parkway Extension interchange. While none of the noise increases are considered substantial (i.e., 15 dB(A) or more over existing levels), project noise levels are predicted to meet or exceed the NAC at 39 receptors. The highest noise level is 73.6 dB(A) at four residences in Intercession City.

When discussing noise level increases, the general rule that applies to perception is:

- A 3 dB(A) increase is barely perceptible to most people.
- A 5 dB(A) increase is noticeable to most people.
- A 10 dB(A) increase is perceived as twice as loud and is considered a doubling of noise.
- *Note: FDOT considers a 15 dB(A) increase as substantial.*

A discussion of each NSA and the corresponding impact and abatement analysis is provided in the following sections. A set of project aerials illustrating the NSAs, representative receptors, and the analyzed sites within each CNE is included in **Appendix D**.

3.2.1 Noise Study Area 1

NSA 1 is located south of US 17/92 from the project's beginning limits to CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road, as illustrated in Appendix D on pages **D2** and **D3**. There are two residences in this NSA (receptors 1-1 and 1-2), and neither is currently affected by traffic noise. However, these residences are located within the ROW of a proposed retention pond for the Poinciana Parkway Extension, which will be shared by this project in the Build Alternative. Both residences will be acquired.

3.2.2 Noise Study Area 2

NSA 2 is located north of US 17/92 from the project's beginning limits to CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road, as illustrated in Appendix D on pages **D2** and **D3**. The noise sensitive land uses in this NSA are predominantly residential and include 22 single-family residences analyzed for project noise impacts, represented by receptors 2-1 through 2-19. There is also an Activity Category E receptor (SLU2-1), Ebenezer Nursery and Landscaping.

At the western section of this NSA adjacent to the Ivy Lane neighborhood is the planned Poinciana Parkway Extension and its interchange with US 17/92. With this project's proposed widening of US 17/92 east of the interchange, the overall traffic noise levels are predicted to increase an average of 3.1 dB(A) compared to the existing condition, with the highest increase being 9.4 dB(A) at a residence on Ivy Mist Lane. While these noise increases are not considered substantial (≥ 15 decibels), noise abatement consideration is required because project noise levels are expected to exceed the 66.0 dB(A) NAC at three residences. A summary of the abatement evaluation to mitigate these impacts is provided in **Section 3.2.2.1**. The noise levels discussed for this NSA are also provided in **Appendix C**.

3.2.2.1 [NSA 2 Noise Abatement Consideration](#)

Multiple driveway openings between the three impacted sites represented by receptors 2-6, 2-10, and 2-12 pose engineering constraints that prevent the construction of a continuous or segmented noise barrier system of effective lengths from meeting the minimum noise reduction feasibility requirement, as discussed in **Section 2.4.4.1**. Therefore, noise abatement for NSA 2 impacts is not considered feasible.

3.2.3 Noise Study Areas 3 and 4

NSA 3 and NSA 4 are located between CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road and Old Tampa Highway. As illustrated in Appendix D on pages **D3** and **D4**, NSA 3 is south of US 17/92, and NSA 4 is located to the north. There are no noise sensitive sites in either of these noise study areas.

3.2.4 Noise Study Area 5

NSA 5 is located south of US 17/92 from Old Tampa Highway to Avenue A/end of project limits, as illustrated in Appendix D on pages **D5** through **D9**. Forty-four residential receptors, represented by receptors 5-1 through 5-31, and the three Activity Category land uses listed below were analyzed for noise impacts in this NSA.

- SLU5-1 – Aspire Health Rehabilitation Facility
- SLU5-2 – Miracle Springs Church
- SLU5-3 – Iglesia Evangelica El Tabor Church

The average project noise level is predicted to be 3.3 dB(A) higher than the existing condition, with the highest increase being 6.4 dB(A). While these noise increases are not considered substantial, noise abatement consideration is required because project noise levels are expected to exceed the 66.0 dB(A) NAC at 13 residences. A summary of the abatement evaluation to mitigate these impacts is provided in **Section 3.2.4.1**. The noise levels discussed for this NSA are also provided in **Appendix C**.

3.2.4.1 [NSA 5 Noise Abatement Consideration](#)

Impacted receptor 5-3 is a single residence where a potential noise barrier cannot achieve the minimum acoustic feasibility requirement of 5.0 dB(A) reduction at two impacted sites, as discussed in **Section 2.4.4.1**. Multiple driveway openings at the remaining 12 impacted sites represented by receptors 5-6, 5-7, 5-9, 5-25, 5-26, and 5-31 pose engineering constraints that

prevent a continuous or segmented noise barrier system of effective lengths that will meet the minimum noise reduction requirement. Therefore, noise abatement for NSA 5 impacts is not considered feasible.

3.2.5 Noise Study Area 6

NSA 6 is located north of US 17/92 from Old Tampa Highway to Avenue A/end of project limits, as illustrated in Appendix D on pages **D5** through **D9**. The analyzed noise sensitive land uses in this NSA are predominantly residential, represented by receptors 6-1 through 6-67 and the Activity Category C receptors listed below:

- SLU6-1 – Muslim Cemetery
- SLU6-2 – Gift of Grace Worship Center
- SLU6-3 – Intercession City Church

Because the project speed limit through Intercession City is reduced to 30 MPH, the average project noise level is predicted to be 1.0 dB(A) higher than the existing condition through NSA 6, with the highest increase being 4.5 dB(A) at a residence east of Nocatee Street where the speed limit increases to 45 MPH. While these noise increases are not considered substantial, noise abatement consideration is required because project noise levels are expected to exceed the 66.0 dB(A) NAC at 22 residences and the Intercession City Church. A summary of the abatement evaluation to mitigate these impacts is provided in **Section 3.2.5.1**. The noise levels discussed for this NSA are also provided in **Appendix C**.

3.2.5.1 [NSA 6 Noise Abatement Consideration](#)

Four impacted residential receptors are single/isolated residences where a potential noise barrier cannot achieve the minimum noise reduction feasibility requirement. These residences are represented by receptors 6-2, 6-12, 6-28, and 6-50.

Multiple side streets and driveway openings at the remaining 19 impacted sites pose engineering constraints that prevent a continuous or segmented noise barrier system of effective lengths that can achieve the minimum noise reduction requirement. These impacted sites include the Intercession City Church (SLU6-3) and the following residential receptors: 6-29, 6-29.5, 6-37, 6-53, 6-56, 6-58 through 6-61, 6-63, 6-65, and 6-66.

Therefore, noise abatement for NSA 6 impacts is not considered feasible. The noise levels discussed for this NSA are also provided in **Appendix C**.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The noise impact analysis results show that noise levels attributed to the project's Build Alternative will not increase substantially over existing noise levels, with 9.4 dB(A) being the highest predicted noise level increase. While none of the individual increases are considered substantial (i.e., ≥ 15.0 dB(A) over existing levels), project noise levels are predicted to meet or exceed the NAC at 38 residential Activity Category B receptors and one Activity Category C receptor.

To mitigate the 39 impacts, noise barriers were considered as an abatement measure. The evaluation concluded that barriers are not feasible for this project. Five impacted residential receptors are considered "isolated." Therefore, noise abatement at those locations cannot meet the minimum acoustic feasibility requirement of 5.0 dB(A) in noise reduction at two impacted receptors. Due to engineering constraints caused by numerous driveways and side streets, noise barriers cannot be constructed with sufficient length to mitigate the noise impacts at the remaining 34 impacted receptors.

4.1 STATEMENT OF LIKELIHOOD

Based on the noise analyses performed to date, no feasible and reasonable solutions are available to mitigate the noise impacts on the 39 noise sensitive sites identified as impacted in **Appendix C**.

During the project's design phase, a land use review will be performed to identify noise sensitive sites that may have received a building permit after the noise study but before the project's Date of Public Knowledge. If the review identifies noise sensitive sites that have been permitted prior to the Date of Public Knowledge, those sites will be evaluated for traffic noise impacts and abatement considerations. The date that the project's Type 2 Categorical Exclusion is approved by FDOT's Office of Environmental Management (OEM) will be the Date of Public Knowledge.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION

Construction of the proposed roadway improvements is not expected to cause significant noise or vibration impacts. However, the existing residential land uses within the limits of this project are considered noise and vibration-sensitive. Additional impacts could result if new noise-sensitive land uses develop adjacent to the roadway before construction. Applying the *FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction*⁷ will minimize or eliminate most potential construction noise and vibration impacts. However, should unanticipated noise or vibration issues arise during the construction process, the Project Engineer, in concert with the FDOT District Five Noise Specialist and the Contractor, will investigate additional methods of controlling these impacts.

6.0 COMMUNITY COORDINATION

Coordination with local agencies, officials, and the general public is ongoing. The public has had the opportunity to comment on the proposed project at public meetings and other outreach efforts. The following outreach efforts have occurred:

- Alternatives Public Meeting, October 12, 2021.
- Public Hearing, June 24, 2025 (virtual) and June 26, 2025 (in-person at Miracle Springs Church, 5646 S. Orange Blossom Trail, Intercession City, FL)

One public comment related to noise was received during the Public Hearing. FDOT provided a written response, which is documented in the project files.

A copy of the final NSR will be circulated to the appropriate local planning/zoning officials for their use upon approval of the Environmental Document. Planning/zoning officials should reference **Figure 2** to plan appropriate noise buffer zones for the US 17/92 segments with a posted speed of 45 MPH. For the project segment through Intercession City, where the posted speed limit is reduced to 30 MPH, officials should reference **Figure 3**.

Note that these generalized contours do not consider any shielding of noise provided by structures or vegetation between the receptor site and the proposed travel lanes.

Figure 2: Noise Impact Contours (45 MPH Segments)

- From Ivy Mist Lane to Reedy Creek Bridge
- Reedy Creek Bridge to E. of Old Tampa Highway
- From E. of Old Tampa Highway to W. of Suwannee Avenue
- From Nocatee Street/Shepherd Lane to Avenue A





Figure 3: Noise Impact Contours (Intercession City - 30 MPH Segment)

- From W. of Suwannee Avenue to Nocatee Street/Shepherd Lane




7.0 REFERENCES


1. Federal Highway Administration, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Title 23 Part 772, "Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise," (July 13, 2010)
2. Florida Department of Transportation, *Project Development and Environment Manual*, Part 2, Chapter 18, (July 31, 2024)
3. *Florida Statutes*, Chapter 335, § 335.17
4. FHWA, FHWA-HEP-10-025: Highway Traffic Noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance, (December 2010)
5. FDOT, Traffic Noise Modeling and Analysis Practitioners Handbook, (December 31, 2018)
6. ©Google 2023. Google Earth Pro
7. FDOT, Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, (January 2025)


Appendix A: Typical Sections


Existing US 17/92 Typical Section




Existing Reedy Creek Bridge Typical Section










TYPICAL SECTION No. 4



SEGMENT 5 TYPICAL SECTION
SR 600 (US 17/92)
FROM JUST WEST OF SUWANNEE AVENUE TO NOCATEE STREET/SHEPHERD LANE
ROADWAY ID: 92010000
STA. 1317+00 TO STA. 1346+00

CURRENT YEAR = 2019 AADT = 25,500
ESTIMATED OPENING YEAR = 2025 AADT = 27,500
ESTIMATED DESIGN YEAR = 2045 AADT = 34,000
K = 9 % D = 57 % T = 10 % (24 HOUR)
DESIGN SPEED = 30 MPH
POSTED SPEED = 30 MPH
TARGET SPEED = 30 MPH

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID	SHEET NO.
437200-2-22-01	6

Appendix B: Project Traffic Data

DocuSign Envelope ID: 68D9DB6F-377E-431E-A979-C21E07BF6D92

TRAFFIC DATA FOR NOISE STUDIES

1. Data sheets are to be filled out for every segment having a change in traffic parameters such as volumes, posted speeds, typical section, etc.
2. When the demand volume is higher than the LOS C threshold, use the LOS C volume in the noise analysis; otherwise, use the demand volume. The volume illustrated in red will be used in the noise model.

Project Description:
Work Program Item Segment: US 17/92 PD&E Study from Ivy Mist Lane (0.62 miles S. of CR 532) to Avenue A
Number(s):
Federal Aid Number(s): 437200-1-22-01

Segment Description: US 17/92 from Ivy Mist Lane to Avenue A

SEGMENT		Ivy Mist Lane to CR 532			CR 532 to Old Tampa Hwy			Old Tampa Hwy to Avenue A		
ANALYSIS SCENARIO		Existing	No-Build	Build	Existing	No-Build	Build	Existing	No-Build	Build
YEAR		2019	2045	2045	2019	2045	2045	2019	2045	2045
Number of Lanes (Directional)		1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2
LOS C Peak Hour Directional Volume *1		830	830	1,910	830	830	1,910	830	830	1,910
Demand Peak Hour Directional Vol. *2	AM	966	1,799	1,799	1,152	2,022	2,022	938	1,644	1,644
	PM	923	1,895	2,182	1,315	2,182	2,182	1,104	1,719	1,719
Posted		55	55	45	55	55	55	45-55	45-55	45-30
D % *2		53.2	57.0	57.0	53.2	57.0	57.0	53.2	57.0	57.0
T24% *2		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Tpeak		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
MT		1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
HT		2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56
Buses		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Motorcycles (DHV%)		0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23

Sources:

*1 = Table 7 (Arterial Class 1) from the FDOT Quality/Level of Service Handbook, January 2020

*2 = Based on 437200-1 US 1792 PTAR dated June 2021 & FDOT CoSite (921002) class count

I certify that the above information is accurate and appropriate for use with

Prepared By: Rajashekar Pemmanaboina

Print Name

in the traffic noise analysis.

P. Rajashekar

Signature

Date: 01/14/2022

I have reviewed and concur that the above information is appropriate for use with the traffic noise analysis.

FDOT Reviewer: Jason Learned

Print Name

Signature

Jason Learned

CE5798000017491

Date: 2/22/2022 | 10:01 AM EST

TRAFFIC DATA FOR NOISE STUDIES

Red denotes volumes used in modeling

Project Description: Poinciana Parkway (SR 538) Extension

CFX Project #: 538-235 & 538-234

Segment Description: CR 532/Osceola Polk Line Road

Data (Directional)	CR 532		
	Existing Facility	No-Build (Design Year)	Build (Design Year)
Year	2020	2045	2045
Number of Lanes	1	2	2
LOS C Peak Hour Directional	747	1910	1910
Demand Peak Hour Directional	not available	1265	1160
Demand Off-Peak Hour	not available	805	940
Posted Speed ^{*4}	55	55	55
D% ^{*3}	60	60	60
Tpeak (DHV%) ^{*3}	3.5	3.5	3.5
MT (DHV%) ^{*3}	2.1	2.1	2.1
HT (DHV%) ^{*3}	1.4	1.4	1.4
Buses (DHV%) ^{*3}	0.45	0.45	0.45
Motorcycles (DHV%) ^{*3}	0.25	0.25	0.25

Data Sources:

*1 = LOS C Directional Volumes per FDOT *Generalized Service Volume Tables* January 2020 (Table 7)

*2 = Demand Peak Hour Dir. Volumes per Dewberry/CDM Smith: *Figure 2045_DDHV_PPW_Full Build_Jan2021.pdf* (corrected version of 6-24-20 report)

*3 = Class distribution per CDM Smith *PP Design Traffic_Report_Revised* 6-24-2020; Dewberry email 8-24-21

*4 = Observed via Google Earth Street View

TRAFFIC DATA FOR NOISE STUDIES

Red denotes volumes used in modeling

Project Description: Poinciana Parkway (SR 538) Extension

CFX Project #: 538-235 & 538-234

Segment Description: Poinciana Pkwy Extension/Mainline

	Extension from CR 532 to US 17-92			Extension from US 17-92 to 538-234/538-165 Project Limits		
Data (Directional)	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)
Year	n/a	n/a	2045	n/a	n/a	2045
Number of Lanes	n/a	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2
LOS C Peak Hour Directional	n/a	n/a	3100	n/a	n/a	3100
Demand Peak Hour Directional	n/a	n/a	3500	n/a	n/a	3305
Demand Off-Peak Hour Directional	n/a	n/a	2335	n/a	n/a	2200
Posted Speed ^{*4}	n/a	n/a	65	n/a	n/a	65
D% ^{*3}	n/a	n/a	60	n/a	n/a	60
Tpeak (DHV%) ^{*3}	n/a	n/a	2.0	n/a	n/a	2.0
MT (DHV%) ^{*3}	n/a	n/a	1.2	n/a	n/a	1.2
HT (DHV%) ^{*3}	n/a	n/a	0.8	n/a	n/a	0.8
Buses (DHV%)	n/a	n/a	0.3	n/a	n/a	0.3
Motorcycles (DHV%)	n/a	n/a	0.25	n/a	n/a	0.25

Data Sources:

*1 = LOS C Directional Volumes per FDOT *Generalized Service Volume Tables* January 2020 (Table 7)

*2 = Demand Peak Hour Dir. Volumes per Dewberry/CDM Smith: *Figure 2045_DDHV_PPW_Full Build_Jan2021.pdf* (corrected version of 6-24-20 report)

*3 = Class distribution per CDM Smith *PP Design Traffic_Report_Revised* 6-24-2020

*4 = Posted Speed per *538-235 Roadway Plans.pdf* 7-30-2021

TRAFFIC DATA FOR NOISE STUDIES

Red denotes volumes used in modeling

Project Description: Poinciana Parkway (SR 538) Extension

CFX Project #: 538-235 & 538-234

Segment Description: Poinciana Pkwy Extension at US 17-92 Ramps

Data	NB On (Ramps C1/C2)			NB Off (Ramps F1/F2)			SB On (Ramps E1/E2)			SB Off (Ramps D1/D2)		
	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)	Existing Facility	No-Build	Build (Design Year)
Year	n/a	n/a	2045	n/a	n/a	2045	n/a	n/a	2045	n/a	n/a	2045
Number of Lanes	n/a	na	1	n/a	na	1	n/a	na	1	n/a	na	1
Demand Peak Hour	n/a	na	740	n/a	na	545	n/a	na	545	n/a	na	740
Posted Speed ³	n/a	na	50	n/a	na	50	n/a	na	50	n/a	na	50
Tpeak (DHV%) ^{*1}	n/a	na	2.0	n/a	na	2.0	n/a	na	2.0	n/a	na	2.0
MT (DHV%) ^{*2}	n/a	na	1.2	n/a	na	1.2	n/a	na	1.2	n/a	na	1.2
HT (DHV%) ^{*2}	n/a	na	0.8	n/a	na	0.8	n/a	na	0.8	n/a	na	0.8
Buses (DHV%) ^{*2}	n/a	na	0.3	n/a	na	0.3	n/a	na	0.3	n/a	na	0.3
Motorcycles (DHV%) ^{*2}	n/a	na	0.25	n/a	na	0.25	n/a	na	0.25	n/a	na	0.25

Data Sources:

*1 = Demand Peak Hour Dir. Volumes per Dewberry/CDM Smith: *Figure 2045_DDHV_PPW_Full Build_Jan2021.pdf* (corrected version of 6-24-20 report)

*2 = Class distribution per CDM Smith *PP Design Traffic_Report_Revised* 6-24-2020

*3 = Ramp speeds per Dewberry email 8-18-21

Appendix C: Predicted Noise Levels

Noise Study Report
Appendix C: Predicted Noise Levels

Noise Study Area (NSA)	Receptor Name	No. of Sites Represented	NAC	FDOT Impact Criterion (dB(A))	2019 Existing LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 No-Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	NAC Approach or Exceeded	Noise Level Change from Existing	Substantial Increase?	Consider Abatement?	Description
							XX.X	Project Impacts				
NSA 1	1-1	1	B	66	64.4	In pond/ROW	In pond/ROW	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 1	1-2	1	B	66	59.5	In pond/ROW	In pond/ROW	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 2	2-1	1	B	66	54.4		62.1	No	7.7	No	No	Ivy Mist Lane residence
NSA 2	2-2	1	B	66	53.3	61.9	62.7	No	9.4	No	No	Ivy Mist Lane residence
NSA 2	2-3	1	B	66	55.2	59.4	60.9	No	5.7	No	No	Ivy Mist Lane residence
NSA 2	2-4	1	B	66	53.1	59.1	60.2	No	7.1	No	No	Ivy Mist Lane residence
NSA 2	2-5	1	B	66	54.0	57.0	58.6	No	4.6	No	No	Twilight Court residence
NSA 2	2-6	1	B	66	70.1	68.0	69.4	Yes	-0.7	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 2	2-7	1	B	66	55.3	57.3	59.1	No	3.8	No	No	Twilight Court residence
NSA 2	2-8	1	B	66	64.3	63.9	65.3	No	1.0	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 2	2-9	3	B	66	53.0	55.2	57.1	No	4.1	No	No	Twilight Court residence
NSA 2	2-10	1	B	66	68.1	68.1	67.7	Yes	-0.4	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 2	2-11	1	B	66	54.5	56.1	57.9	No	3.4	No	No	Sundown Drive residence
NSA 2	2-12	1	B	66	67.3	67.6	67.1	Yes	-0.2	No	Yes	Sundown Drive residence
NSA 2	2-13	1	B	66	58.8	60.1	60.4	No	1.6	No	No	Sundown Drive residence
NSA 2	2-14	1	B	66	54.1	55.6	57.7	No	3.6	No	No	Sundown Drive residence
NSA 2	2-15	1	B	66	55.8	56.9	58.3	No	2.5	No	No	Dusk Court residence
NSA 2	2-16	1	B	66	58.0	58.9	59.2	No	1.2	No	No	Dusk Court residence
NSA 2	2-17	2	B	66	54.2	55.3	57.1	No	2.9	No	No	Dusk Court residence
NSA 2	2-18	1	B	66	53.7	56.0	56.3	No	2.6	No	No	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 2	2-19	1	B	66	52.7	55.3	55.6	No	2.9	No	No	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 2	SLU2-1E	1	E	71	69.7	67.3	69.3	No	-0.4	No	No	Ebenzer Nursery & Landscaping
NSA 5	5-1	1	B	66	56.5	56.0	60.7	No	4.2	No	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-2	1	B	66	55.6	55.4	58.8	No	3.2	No	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-3	1	B	66	65.4	66.1	68.6	Yes	3.2	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-4	1	B	66	59.6	60.1	63.3	No	3.7	No	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-5	7	B	66	55.6	55.8	59.8	No	4.2	No	No	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-6	1	B	66	67.6	68.6	72.9	Yes	5.3	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-7	1	B	66	66.5	67.8	71.6	Yes	5.1	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-8	1	B	66	50.5	50.4	53.1	No	2.6	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-9	4	B	66	69.2	69.2	70.0	Yes	0.8	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-10	1	B	66	61.0	61.0	61.0	No	0.0	No	No	Hope Street residence
NSA 5	5-11	1	B	66	56.6	55.9	57.8	No	1.2	No	No	Hope Street residence
NSA 5	5-12	1	B	66	52.2	51.9	54.7	No	2.5	No	No	Hope Street residence
NSA 5	5-13	1	B	66	52.4	52.0	54.9	No	2.5	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-14	1	B	66	54.3	53.9	56.3	No	2.0	No	No	Hope Street residence
NSA 5	5-15	1	B	66	56.5	55.9	58.0	No	1.5	No	No	Hope Street residence
NSA 5	5-16	1	B	66	60.3	60.6	61.5	No	1.2	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-17	1	B	66	57.3	56.8	59.2	No	1.9	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-18	1	B	66	55.6	55.3	57.9	No	2.3	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-19	2	B	66	53.8	53.6	56.6	No	2.8	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-20	1	B	66	53.6	53.2	56.7	No	3.1	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-21	1	B	66	55.7	55.4	58.5	No	2.8	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-22	1	B	66	57.5	57.1	59.8	No	2.3	No	No	Charity Street residence
NSA 5	5-23	1	B	66	58.1	57.8	61.4	No	3.3	No	No	Shepherd Lane residence

Noise Study Area (NSA)	Receptor Name	No. of Sites Represented	NAC	FDOT Impact Criterion (dB(A))	2019 Existing LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 No-Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	NAC Approach or Exceeded	Noise Level Change from Existing	Substantial Increase?	Consider Abatement?	Description
NSA 5	5-24	1	B	66	55.5	55.1	59.4	No	3.9	No	No	Shepherd Lane residence
NSA 5	5-25	4	B	66	68.2	68.6	73.6	Yes	5.4	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-26	1	B	66	62.5	61.8	66.8	Yes	4.3	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	5-27	1	B	66	58.8	58.1	63.0	No	4.2	No	No	Shepherd Lane residence
NSA 5	5-28	1	B	66	55.1	54.6	60.1	No	5.0	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-29	1	B	66	57.6	56.8	63.2	No	5.6	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-30	1	B	66	58.2	57.5	64.2	No	6.0	No	No	Orange Avenue residence
NSA 5	5-31	1	B	66	62.6	62.8	69.0	Yes	6.4	No	Yes	EB US17/92 residence
NSA 5	SLU5-1	1	C	66	53.0	53.1	57.6	No	4.6	No	No	Apsire Health Rehabilitation Facility
NSA 5	SLU5-2	1	C	66	55.8	55.5	58.1	No	2.3	No	No	Miracle Springs Church
NSA 5	SLU5-3	1	C	66	51.6	51.3	54.0	No	2.4	No	No	Iglesia Evangelica El Tabor Church
NSA 6	6-1	1	B	66	64.3	64.2	65.2	No	0.9	No	No	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 6	6-2	1	B	66	66.3	66.3	67.3	Yes	1.0	No	Yes	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 6	6-3	1	B	66	62.6	62.6	63.5	No	0.9	No	No	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 6	6-4	1	B	66	61.4	61.3	62.1	No	0.7	No	No	Old Tampa Highway residence
NSA 6	6-5	1	B	66	59.4	59.4	60.0	No	0.6	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-6	3	B	66	64.8	65.2	64.2	No	-0.6	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-7	1	B	66	66.0	66.4	64.6	No	-1.4	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-8	1	B	66	55.9	55.5	57.5	No	1.6	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-9	1	B	66	64.9	63.7	64.5	No	-0.4	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-10	1	B	66	64.8	65.2	65.3	No	0.5	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-11	1	B	66	52.6	52.7	55.1	No	2.5	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-12	1	B	66	68.5	68.6	70.3	Yes	1.8	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-13	1	B	66	64.8	64.9	65.6	No	0.8	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-14	1	B	66	62.6	62.6	64.0	No	1.4	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-15	1	B	66	59.9	59.9	62.6	No	2.7	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-16	1	B	66	58.3	58.3	61.7	No	3.4	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-17	1	B	66	57.1	57.1	60.8	No	3.7	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-18	1	B	66	55.7	55.7	59.6	No	3.9	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-19	1	B	66	54.8	54.6	57.9	No	3.1	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-20	1	B	66	56.9	56.7	59.9	No	3.0	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-21	1	B	66	58.9	58.7	61.4	No	2.5	No	No	Suwannee Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-22	3	B	66	54.8	54.6	57.6	No	2.8	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-23	1	B	66	55.0	54.7	57.4	No	2.4	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-24	1	B	66	56.6	56.2	58.6	No	2.0	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-25	1	B	66	60.6	60.1	61.1	No	0.5	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-26	1	B	66	62.7	62.3	62.2	No	-0.5	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-27	1	B	66	64.2	63.9	63.2	No	-1.0	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-28	1	B	66	66.9	66.8	66.2	Yes	-0.7	No	Yes	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-29	4	B	66	70.9	70.9	69.8	Yes	-1.1	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-29.5	1	B	66	68.3	68.1	66.5	Yes	-1.8	No	Yes	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-29.6	1	B	66	66.3	65.5	64.3	No	-2.0	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-30	1	B	66	62.0	61.3	61.0	No	-1.0	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-31	1	B	66	60.6	59.5	59.9	No	-0.7	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-32	1	B	66	58.5	57.4	58.1	No	-0.4	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-33	1	B	66	57.1	56.2	57.2	No	0.1	No	No	Immokalee Street residence
NSA 6	6-34	1	B	66	57.3	56.6	58.0	No	0.7	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-35	1	B	66	59.8	58.7	59.3	No	-0.5	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-36	2	B	66	62.0	60.7	60.8	No	-1.2	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence

Noise Study Report
Appendix C: Predicted Noise Levels

Noise Study Area (NSA)	Receptor Name	No. of Sites Represented	NAC	FDOT Impact Criterion (dB(A))	2019 Existing LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 No-Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	2045 Build LAeq1h (dB(A))	NAC Approach or Exceeded	Noise Level Change from Existing	Substantial Increase?	Consider Abatement?	Description
NSA 6	6-37	3	B	66	69.2	69.2	67.7	Yes	-1.5	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-38	1	B	66	61.0	59.7	59.6	No	-1.4	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-39	1	B	66	59.0	57.8	58.2	No	-0.8	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-40	1	B	66	58.2	57.1	57.7	No	-0.5	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-41	1	B	66	58.6	57.6	58.0	No	-0.6	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-42	1	B	66	56.6	55.8	56.6	No	0.0	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-43	1	B	66	55.9	55.2	56.2	No	0.3	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-44	1	B	66	55.3	54.5	55.9	No	0.6	No	No	Tallahassee Boulevard residence
NSA 6	6-45	1	B	66	56.6	55.8	56.8	No	0.2	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-46	1	B	66	57.0	56.4	57.4	No	0.4	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-47	1	B	66	58.9	58.1	58.7	No	-0.2	No	No	Manatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-48	1	B	66	57.9	57.4	58.6	No	0.7	No	No	Manatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-49	1	B	66	60.7	60.2	60.6	No	-0.1	No	No	Manatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-50	1	B	66	68.7	68.9	67.6	Yes	-1.1	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-51	1	B	66	59.3	59.0	60.0	No	0.7	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-52	4	B	66	59.0	58.6	60.4	No	1.4	No	No	Myakka Avenue residence
NSA 6	6-53	2	B	66	68.3	68.6	68.1	Yes	-0.2	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-54	1	B	66	60.6	59.8	62.0	No	1.4	No	No	Nocatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-55	1	B	66	59.5	58.6	62.4	No	2.9	No	No	Nocatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-56	1	B	66	64.1	63.2	66.4	Yes	2.3	No	Yes	Nocatee Street residence
NSA 6	6-57	10	B	66	58.8	57.4	62.7	No	3.9	No	No	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-58	1	B	66	65.8	65.2	68.2	Yes	2.4	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-59	1	B	66	68.1	67.9	70.7	Yes	2.6	No	Yes	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-60	1	B	66	64.9	64.0	67.9	Yes	3.0	No	Yes	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-61	1	B	66	63.0	61.5	66.2	Yes	3.2	No	Yes	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-62	1	B	66	61.1	59.5	64.7	No	3.6	No	No	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-63	1	B	66	67.2	66.7	69.8	Yes	2.6	No	Yes	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-64	1	B	66	61.5	60.2	65.4	No	3.9	No	No	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-65	1	B	66	65.7	65.1	68.7	Yes	3.0	No	Yes	Rainbow Adult Park Rental residence
NSA 6	6-66	1	B	66	65.9	65.7	69.2	Yes	3.3	No	Yes	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	6-67	1	B	66	61.0	60.5	65.5	No	4.5	No	No	WB US17/92 residence
NSA 6	SLU6-1	1	C	66	55.5	55.7	57.5	No	2.0	No	No	Muslim Cemetery
NSA 6	SLU6-2	1	C	66	56.9	56.3	57.9	No	1.0	No	No	Gift of Grace Worship Center
NSA 6	SLU6-3	1	C	66	69.1	69.2	67.7	Yes	-1.4	No	Yes	Intercession City Church

Appendix D: Project Aerials

