FEBRUARY 10, 2017

Final Report SR 46 FROM EAST OF SR 415 TO CR 426 Traffic Forecasts Update Report

Prepared for
Seminole County, FL

## Final Report

## SR 46 FROM EAST OF SR 415 TO CR 426

## Traffic Forecasts update report

The current report is prepared in support of SR 46 Widening Project from just east of SR 415 to CR 426 (Financial Project ID: 240216-4-28-01; Federal Aid Project No: TCSP-045-U), in Seminole County. The purpose of this study is to update the design year (2045) traffic forecasts for the SR 46 study corridor and validate the need for four-lane widening of the study corridor using the revised design year traffic forecasts.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated 12/14/2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.
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## 1 Overview

The current study was initiated by Seminole County in support of SR 46 Widening Project from just east of SR 415 to CR 426 (Financial Project ID: 240216-4-28-01; Federal Aid Project No: TCSP-045-U), in Seminole County. SR 46 is proposed to be widened to four lanes from the current 2-lane configuration. The project location map is shown in Figure 1.

The purpose of this study is to update the design year (2045) traffic forecasts for the SR 46 study corridor and validate the need for four-lane widening of the study corridor using the revised design year traffic forecasts. The justification (per Part 2, Chapter 5 of FDOT's PD\&E Manual) for this reevaluation comes from a change in the adopted regional travel demand model, and a change in the design year of the project. The previous Design Traffic Technical Memorandum (DTTM) (completed in May 2012) had used the previous iteration of the regional travel demand model (with 2005 as the base year and 2035 as the horizon year) and had assumed a project design year of 2035. The latest update to the regional travel demand model (with 2009 as the base year and 2040 as the horizon year) has the latest planning assumptions and represents a significant change in travel forecasts. The next sections of this document provide additional details of the overall traffic forecasting process.

SR 46 within the study limits is primarily an east/west facility from SR 415 to Osceola Road and a northwest/southeast facility from Osceola Road to CR 426. SR 46 from just east of SR 415 to CR 426 is classified as a rural principal arterial with a Level of Service (LOS) standard "C" (source: Florida Department of Transportation [FDOT]). The proposed widening of SR 46 study corridor is included as a planned cost feasible improvement in the MetroPlan Orlando 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).

This document provides a recommended growth rate, including the methodology used to derive this growth rate, for the study corridor. In addition, this document provides a planning level roadway Level of Service (LOS) analysis for the 2-lane (No Build) and 4-lane (Build) alternatives using Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT's) Generalized Service Volumes, provided in the 2013 Quality/LOS (Q/LOS) Handbook.

## 2 Existing Traffic Data

Existing year 2016 traffic counts were collected at the following six (6) locations to support the study tasks.

72-hour classification counts were collected at the following three (3) locations:

- SR 46 west of SR 415
- SR 46 between SR 415 and Osceola Road
- $\quad$ SR 46 west of CR 426.

72-hour volume counts were collected at the following three (3) locations:

- SR 46 between Osceola Road and Mullet Lake Park Rd
- SR 46 between Mullet Lake Park Road and Woodridge Drive
- SR 46 east of CR 426


Project Location Map

The following Table 1 provides a summary of the existing Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes derived from the field counts. Based on the information shown in Table 1, SR 46 between SR 415 and CR 426 carries AADT volumes ranging between 10,100 and 12,000. Field counts and the latest seasonal and axle factors obtained from the 2015 FTI DVD are provided in Appendix A.

Table 1: Existing AADT Volume Summary

| Section | Existing <br> Year <br> $(2016)$ <br> Count | Count <br> Start <br> Date | Count <br> Type | Seasonal <br> Factor <br> (SF) | Axle <br> Factor <br> (AF) | Existing <br> Year <br> (2016) <br> AADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 46 | 11,533 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Class | 1.00 | 1.00 | 11,500 |
| West of SR 415 | 11,958 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Class | 1.00 | 1.00 | 12,000 |
| SR 415 to Osceola Rd | 10,613 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Volume | 1.00 | 0.95 | 10,100 |
| Osceola Rd to Mullet Lake Park Rd | 11,124 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Volume | 1.00 | 0.95 | 10,600 |
| Mullet Lake Park Rd to Woodridge Dr | 10,051 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Class | 1.00 | 1.00 | 10,100 |
| Woodridge Dr to CR 426 | 7,457 | $05 / 17 / 16$ | Volume | 1.00 | 0.95 | 7,100 |
| east of CR 426 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. AADT $=$ Traffic Count*SF*AF
2. Latest available SF and AF were obtained from the 2015 Florida Transportation Information (FTI) DVD

## 3 Base Year Sub Area Model Validation

The traffic model applied for this study was based on the adopted Orlando Urban Area Transportation Study (OUATS). The model is an evaluation tool that represents land use and transportation interaction to assess the capability of the region's highway and transit networks to support anticipated growth. The latest adopted model has a 2009 base year model and a 2040 LRTP model. Sub-area model validation for this study was performed for base year 2009 traffic conditions.

The sub area model validation for the SR 46 planning study area was performed to achieve better results in forecasting the future year traffic for roadways within the study area. The model refinement was performed by fine-tuning the network using the guidelines identified in FDOT Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook (2014).

The model validation was performed to ensure that the model is accurate enough to forecast the number of lanes required to handle the future project volumes. The supporting documents for the sub area validation process are provided in Appendix B.

### 3.1 Base Year Model Adjustments

A reasonableness check of the 2009 base year model network was conducted within the planning study area. The following network changes were implemented as part of the validation process. No other changes were applied to the base year model.

- The speed limit was changed from 31 mph to 35 mph for East Lake Mary Blvd from Skyway Dr to SR 46
- Missing connections between Old Mims Rd and CR 426 and between S. Jungle Rd and SR 46 were established.
- The speed limit was changed from $32 / 35 \mathrm{mph}$ to 30 mph for Snow Hill Rd from Old Mims Rd to SR 46
- The facility type for Lockwood Blvd from CR 419 to CR 426/Geneva Dr was changed from 42/43 to 47.


### 3.2 Model Validation Results

The validation of a traffic model involves verifying various statistics, most of which are related to actual ground counts that have been collected on various links throughout the highway network. As Measures Of Effectiveness (MOEs), ratio of assigned volume to count volume and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) have been used in this study to evaluate whether the year 2009 model has been validated within the allowable limits.

The year 2009 AADT counts for individual roadway segments were obtained from Seminole County. The Peak Season Weekday Average Daily Traffic (PSWADT) volumes obtained from the OUATS were converted to AADT volumes using the 2009 Model Output Conversion Factor (MOCF) factor of 0.98 obtained from the FTI DVD.

### 3.2.1 Ratio of Volumes to Counts

The ratio of assigned volume to count volume on individual roadway links was calculated as one MOE. Nine (9) roadway segments were used to evaluate and compare the model volumes against the ground counts within the study area. Table 2 illustrates the volume to count ratios for different facility types. The individual link and ground counts are provided in Appendix B. As shown in Table 2, all of the facility types perform well within the preferable levels of accuracy.

Table 2: Base Year Volume to Count Ratio Summary

| Facility Type | Acceptable | Preferable | Before | After |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway (FT1X,FT8X,FT9X) | $+/-7 \%$ | $+/-6 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Divided Arterial (FT2X) | $+/-15 \%$ | $+/-10 \%$ | $-15.69 \%$ | $6.92 \%$ |
| Undivided Arterial (FT3X) | $+/-15 \%$ | $+/-10 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | $-2.33 \%$ |
| Collector (FT4X) | $+/-25 \%$ | $+/-20 \%$ | $-6.01 \%$ | $-11.58 \%$ |
| One-Way (FT6X) | $+/-25 \%$ | $+/-20 \%$ | NA | NA |

### 3.2.2 Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)

The percent RMSE for the study corridors is another aggregate measure of how well the model has been validated against the ground counts within the study area. The RMSE values shown in Table 3 clearly shows that the adjusted network has been well fine-tuned to replicate the ground counts within study area.

Table 3: Base Year RMSE by Volume Group

| Volume <br> Group (vpd) | \% RMSE | Acceptable <br> \% RMSE | Preferable <br> \% RMSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5,000$ | N/A | $100 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| $5,000-9,999$ | $7.64 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $10,000-14,999$ | $7.19 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $15,000-19,999$ | $6.45 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $20,000-29,999$ | N/A | $27 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $30,000-49,999$ | N/A | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $50,000-59,999$ | N/A | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $>60,000$ | N/A | $19 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Area wide | $3.48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

## 4 Development of Future Traffic Forecasts

The development of traffic projections for any roadway corridor requires the examination of historical growth, proposed development levels within the corridor vicinity, and a basic understanding of local traffic circulation patterns and travel characteristics of the corridor. As such, the following sources were used to derive reasonable future traffic forecasts for the study corridor.

- Travel Demand Model: The latest adopted OUATS was used in the traffic forecasting process. The base year 2009 and design year 2040 model volumes from the latest adopted Orlando Urban Area Transportation Study (OUATS) were used to derive model based annual growth rates.
- Historical Traffic Trends Analysis: Historical traffic trends analysis was conducted for SR 46 study corridor using traffic data between 2006 and 2015 available from Seminole County and annual growth rates were derived.
- Population Projections: Population projections from the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) was also used. Annual growth rates were derived using 2015 estimate and 2045 estimates for the low, medium and high population projections.


### 4.1 Study Alternatives

Based on the direction from Seminole County, a No Build alternative and a Build alternative were evaluated. The No Build alternative maintains the existing two-lane roadway section, whereas the Build alternative evaluates a four-lane section.

### 4.2 Model Based Growth Rates

The year 2040 OUATS model was reviewed to check if it included programmed (source: latest MetroPlan Orlando Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); Fiscal Year [FY] 2016/17 - FY 2020/2021) and planned improvements (source: 2040 MetroPlan Orlando LRTP) near the study limits. Based on the latest available TIP, Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase for this SR 46 widening project is funded during fiscal year 2020/21 time-period. Based on the latest available 2040 LRTP, SR 46 4lane widening from SR 415 to CR 426 is included as a planned improvement with construction phase funded by year 2025.

As the next step, annual growth rates were calculated between 2009 and 2040 model volumes. Table 4 illustrates the model growth rates for the study corridor. As shown in Table 4, the model based average growth rates are $3.2 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ for the No Build and Build alternatives, respectively. The relevant pages from the MetroPlan Orlando TIP and LRTP, and 2040 model volume plots are provided in Appendix C.

Table 4: Model Based Growth Rates

| SR 46 <br> Roadway Link | Base Year <br> (2009) <br> Model <br> Volume | No Build <br> (2-lane) <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ <br> Model <br> Volume | Build <br> (4-lane) <br> 2040 <br> Model <br> Volume | No Build <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate | Build <br> Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of SR 415 | 11,545 | 22,260 | 26,872 | $3.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| East of Richmond Ave | 11,093 | 21,883 | 26,072 | $3.1 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| West of Osceola Rd | 10,614 | 21,133 | 25,290 | $3.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| East of Osceola Rd | 9,045 | 18,095 | 22,166 | $3.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| West of Woodridge Dr | 10,058 | 19,667 | 23,653 | $3.1 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| West of CR 426 | 8,069 | 16,614 | 20,284 | $3.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Average |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 \%}$ |

### 4.3 Historic Traffic Growth Rates

Based on the historic count information obtained from Seminole County, a trends analysis was performed for the four available count stations ( 272 through 275 between 2006 and 2015) on SR 46. Based on this historical data, future growth trends were established by a least square linear regression of the historic counts.

These trend analysis sheets are provided in Appendix D. The R-squared value (which determines the goodness-of-fit) for the all the four stations ranged between $0 \%$ and $32 \%$. Therefore, the trends analysis results were not considered in the growth rate recommendation.

### 4.4 Population Projections

Population projection data obtained from BEBR published by the University of Florida were used for comparison purposes. The year 2015 and the low, medium and high 2045 population estimates were used to obtain the corresponding growth rates for Seminole County (see Table 5).

The high estimate was used for the purpose of this study. The BEBR population projection data are enclosed in Appendix E.

Table 5: Population Based Growth Rates

| County | Population Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ | Annual <br> Growth Rate |
| Seminole | $\mathbf{4 4 2 , 9 0 3}$ | Low -471,500 | $0.22 \%$ |
|  |  | Medium -580,600 | $1.04 \%$ |
|  |  | High -694,200 | $1.89 \%$ |

### 4.5 Recommended Growth Rate \& Future Traffic Forecasts

The growth rates obtained from the above three sources combined with the existing and expected land use along the study corridor, were reviewed to derive a recommended growth rate for the study corridor.

Given the potential for traffic growth along the study corridor, annual growth rates of 3.2\% and 4.5\% for the No Build and Build alternatives (based on travel demand model volumes), respectively will be used to derive the 2045 roadway volumes for SR 46 study corridor. Table 6 shows the design year 2045 AADT volumes for SR 46 study corridor.

Table 6: Year 2045 Traffic Forecasts

| SR 46 <br> Roadway <br> Section | Existing <br> 2016 <br> AADT | No Build <br> (2-lane) <br> 2045 <br> AADT | Build <br> (4-lane) <br> 2045 <br> AADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 415 to Osceola Rd | 12,000 | 23,100 | 27,700 |
| Osceola Rd to Mullet Lake Park Rd | 10,100 | 19,500 | 23,300 |
| Mullet Lake Park Rd to Woodridge Dr | 10,600 | 20,400 | 24,400 |
| Woodridge Dr to CR 426 | 10,100 | 19,500 | 23,300 |

## 5 Design Year 2045 Roadway Analysis

This section presents the results of the design year 2045 planning level roadway operational analysis for the No Build and Build alternatives.

The latest available FDOT's Generalized Service Volumes from the 2013 Q/LOS Handbook for a rural developed area (provided in Appendix F) are used to determine the design year roadway LOS for SR 46 study corridor. The following Table 7 illustrates the roadway LOS summary for the No Build and Build alternatives.

Table 7: Year 2045 Roadway LOS Analysis Summary

| SR 46 <br> Roadway Section | FDOT LOS Standard (Rural Area) | No Build (2-lane) Alternative |  |  | Build (2-lane) Alternative |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Roadway Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2045 \\ \text { AADT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2045 \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | Roadway Capacity | $\begin{aligned} & 2045 \\ & \text { AADT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2045 \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 415 to Osceola Rd | C | 16,400 | 23,100 | E | 40,700 | 27,700 | C |
| Osceola Rd to Mullet Lake Park Rd | C |  | 19,500 | D |  | 23,300 | B |
| Mullet Lake Park Rd to Woodridge Dr | C |  | 20,400 | D |  | 24,400 | B |
| Woodridge Dr to CR 426 | C |  | 19,500 | D |  | 23,300 | B |

Notes: LOS in red color denotes failing LOS condition

Based on the results provided in Table 7, the projected LOS for SR 46 study corridor under the No Build alternative will exceed the FDOT standard LOS " $C$ " condition in 2045. Under the Build alternative, the study corridor is projected to operate within the LOS standard in 2045. Based on projected traffic forecasts for the interim years ( 2017 to 2044), SR 46 between SR 415 and Osceola Road is expected is exceed LOS standard C by year 2028. SR 46 section between Osceola Road and CR 426 (considering an AADT of 20,400 for 2045) is expected to fail by 2033.

The project traffic assumption summary figure (Figure 5-1 Project Traffic Assumption Summary from Part 2, Chapter 3 of the FDOT's PD\&E Manual) is provided in Appendix G of this report.

## 6 Study Conclusion

Based on the evaluation of roadway operating conditions for the design year 2045 No Build and Build traffic conditions, this study recommends that SR 46 be widened to four lanes to handle the projected traffic volumes within the study corridor. As such, the recommendation to four-lane SR 46 (as recommended in the previously completed DTTM) was determined using the latest adopted regional travel demand model (OUATS 2040) and remains valid.

## AppendixA

- Year 2016 Field Collected Traffic Counts
- Year 2015 FDOT Seasonal and Axle Factors

| TRAFFIC COUNTDATA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE: COUNT LOCATION: EQUIPMENT ID: | 62518.58 Task 115 <br> C-1 <br> SR-46 West of E. Lake <br> P227/P127 | Mary Blvd. |
| TYPE OF COUNT: | 72 Hour | Classification Count |
| TIME OF COUNT: | Start Date: 5/17/2016 <br> End Date: 5/19/2016 | Start Time: Midnight <br> End Time: Midnight |
| VOLUMES: <br> Average Daily: Daily Truck Avg | $\begin{array}{r} 11,533 \\ 1,479 \end{array}$ | Peak Hour Time: 5:00 PM <br> Average Peak Hour: 1,009 <br> Max Hour Truck Avg: 147 <br> Peak Hour Truck Avg: 100 |
| TRAVEL CHARACTERIST <br> T med <br> Theav | S: <br> MEASURED | D MEASURED |

## HOURLY DISTRIBUTIONS OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES

|  | VHB PROJECT NO: 62518.58 Task 115 <br> LOCATION CODE: C-1 <br> COUNT LOCATION: SR-46 West of E. Lake Mary Blvd. <br> EQUIPMENT ID: P227/P127 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUR ENDING AT | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (NB OR EB) | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL VOLUME BOTH DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUTION PERCENT DIRECTION (NB OR EB) | DISTRIBUTION PERCENT DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL PERCENT <br> BOTH DIRECTIONS |
| 1:00 AM | 43 | 31 | 74 | 0.75\% | 0.54\% | 0.64\% |
| 2:00 AM | 29 | 19 | 48 | 0.50\% | 0.34\% | 0.42\% |
| 3:00 AM | 28 | 18 | 46 | 0.48\% | 0.31\% | 0.40\% |
| 4:00 AM | 18 | 27 | 45 | 0.31\% | 0.48\% | 0.39\% |
| 5:00 AM | 30 | 53 | 82 | 0.51\% | 0.92\% | 0.71\% |
| 6:00 AM | 115 | 210 | 325 | 1.99\% | 3.67\% | 2.82\% |
| 7:00 AM | 215 | 407 | 622 | 3.70\% | 7.11\% | 5.40\% |
| 8:00 AM | 313 | 609 | 922 | 5.40\% | 10.63\% | 8.00\% |
| 9:00 AM | 304 | 482 | 785 | 5.23\% | 8.41\% | 6.81\% |
| 10:00 AM | 270 | 341 | 611 | 4.65\% | 5.95\% | 5.30\% |
| 11:00 AM | 253 | 303 | 556 | 4.36\% | 5.30\% | 4.82\% |
| 12:00 PM | 279 | 310 | 589 | 4.81\% | 5.41\% | 5.10\% |
| 1:00 PM | 273 | 358 | 631 | 4.70\% | 6.26\% | 5.47\% |
| 2:00 PM | 321 | 318 | 639 | 5.53\% | 5.55\% | 5.54\% |
| 3:00 PM | 366 | 313 | 678 | 6.30\% | 5.46\% | 5.88\% |
| 4:00 PM | 448 | 318 | 766 | 7.72\% | 5.55\% | 6.64\% |
| 5:00 PM | 573 | 346 | 919 | 9.87\% | 6.04\% | 7.97\% |
| 6:00 PM | 633 | 377 | 1,009 | 10.90\% | 6.58\% | 8.75\% |
| 7:00 PM | 412 | 288 | 700 | 7.10\% | 5.03\% | 6.07\% |
| 8:00 PM | 269 | 197 | 466 | 4.63\% | 3.44\% | 4.04\% |
| 9:00 PM | 229 | 151 | 381 | 3.95\% | 2.64\% | 3.30\% |
| 10:00 PM | 188 | 116 | 304 | 3.23\% | 2.03\% | 2.64\% |
| 11:00 PM | 123 | 87 | 211 | 2.12\% | 1.52\% | 1.83\% |
| 12:00 AM | 75 | 48 | 122 | 1.29\% | 0.83\% | 1.06\% |
| TOTALS | 5,806 | 5,727 | 11,533 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |



| ANNUAL VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION REPORT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE: COUNT LOCATION: EQUIPMENT ID: | 62518.58 Task 115 <br> C-1 <br> SR-46 West of E. Lake Mary Blvd. <br> P227/P127 |  |  |
|  |  | Aver | tistics |
| Classification | Type | Volume | Percentage |
| Class 1 <br> Class 2 <br> Class 3 <br> Class 4 <br> Class 5 <br> Class 6 <br> Class 7 <br> Class 8 <br> Class 9 <br> Class 10 <br> Class 11 <br> Class 12 <br> Class 13 <br> Class 14 <br> Class 15 | Motorcycles <br> Cars <br> Pick-Ups \& Vans <br> Buses <br> 2 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 3 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 4 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 2 Axle Trctr with 1 or 2 Axle Trlr, 3 Axle Trctr with 1 Axle <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 2 Axle Trailer <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 3 Axle Trailer <br> 5 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 6 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 7 or more Axles <br> Not Used <br> Other | 75 8,061 1,918 135 931 96 11 134 166 5 0 1 0 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.65 \% \\ 69.90 \% \\ 16.63 \% \\ 1.17 \% \\ 8.07 \% \\ 0.83 \% \\ 0.10 \% \\ 1.16 \% \\ 1.44 \% \\ 0.04 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.01 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTALS |  | 11,533 | 100.00\% |

## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE:
COUNT LOCATION:
EQUIPMENT ID: $\qquad$
62518.58 T115

SR-46 Btwn. E. Lake Mary Blvd.SR-415 and Osceola Road P220
TYPE OF COUNT: $\quad 72$ Hour Classification Count

TIME OF COUNT:
Start Date: 5/17/2016
End Date: 5/19/2016
Start Time: Midnight End Time: Midnight

VOLUMES:

|  |  | Peak Hour Time: | $4: 45$ PM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average Daily: | 11,958 | Average Peak Hour: | 1,109 |
| Daily Truck Avg: | 1,418 | Max Hour Truck Avg: | 128 |
|  |  | Peak Hour Truck Avg: | 116 |

TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS:
K MEASURED D MEASURED

| K= | $9.3 \%$ | D= | $53.6 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | T daily | $11.9 \%$ |
| T Max Hour | $11.5 \%$ | T med Daily | $9.7 \%$ |
| T med (max) | $9.3 \%$ |  |  |
| T heavy (max) | $2.2 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| T Peak Hour | $10.4 \%$ |  |  |
| med Peak Hour | $8.7 \%$ |  |  |

## HOURLY DISTRIBUTIONS OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES

| VHB PROJECT NO: 62518.58 T115 <br> LOCATION CODE: C-2 COUNT LOCATION: SR-46 Btwn. E. Lake Mary Blvd.SR-415 and Osceola Road EQUIPMENT ID: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUR ENDING AT | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (NB OR EB) | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL VOLUME BOTH DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUITON PERCENT DIRECTION (NB OR EB) | DISTRIBUITON PERCENT DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL PERCENT BOTH DIRECTIONS |
| 1:00 AM | 27 | 37 | 64 | 0.45\% | 0.62\% | 0.53\% |
| 2:00 AM | 18 | 22 | 39 | 0.29\% | 0.37\% | 0.33\% |
| 3:00 AM | 18 | 20 | 38 | 0.30\% | 0.34\% | 0.32\% |
| 4:00 AM | 22 | 17 | 39 | 0.37\% | 0.29\% | 0.33\% |
| 5:00 AM | 48 | 49 | 97 | 0.79\% | 0.83\% | 0.81\% |
| 6:00 AM | 182 | 157 | 339 | 3.03\% | 2.64\% | 2.83\% |
| 7:00 AM | 392 | 383 | 775 | 6.51\% | 6.45\% | 6.48\% |
| 8:00 AM | 532 | 438 | 971 | 8.84\% | 7.39\% | 8.12\% |
| 9:00 AM | 460 | 363 | 823 | 7.64\% | 6.12\% | 6.89\% |
| 10:00 AM | 320 | 274 | 594 | 5.31\% | 4.62\% | 4.97\% |
| 11:00 AM | 298 | 270 | 568 | 4.95\% | 4.55\% | 4.75\% |
| 12:00 PM | 314 | 263 | 576 | 5.21\% | 4.43\% | 4.82\% |
| 1:00 PM | 353 | 267 | 621 | 5.86\% | 4.51\% | 5.19\% |
| 2:00 PM | 330 | 294 | 625 | 5.48\% | 4.96\% | 5.22\% |
| 3:00 PM | 346 | 347 | 693 | 5.75\% | 5.84\% | 5.80\% |
| 4:00 PM | 372 | 423 | 796 | 6.18\% | 7.14\% | 6.65\% |
| 5:00 PM | 470 | 531 | 1,002 | 7.81\% | 8.96\% | 8.38\% |
| 6:00 PM | 517 | 588 | 1,105 | 8.59\% | 9.91\% | 9.24\% |
| 7:00 PM | 373 | 384 | 757 | 6.19\% | 6.47\% | 6.33\% |
| 8:00 PM | 222 | 258 | 480 | 3.68\% | 4.35\% | 4.01\% |
| 9:00 PM | 162 | 216 | 378 | 2.69\% | 3.64\% | 3.16\% |
| 10:00 PM | 116 | 171 | 287 | 1.93\% | 2.88\% | 2.40\% |
| 11:00 PM | 80 | 98 | 178 | 1.33\% | 1.65\% | 1.49\% |
| 12:00 AM | 51 | 64 | 115 | 0.84\% | 1.08\% | 0.96\% |
| TOTALS | 6,025 | 5,933 | 11,958 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |



| ANNUAL VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION REPORT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE: COUNT LOCATION: EQUIPMENT ID: | 62518.58 T115 <br> C-2 <br> SR-46 Btwn. E. Lake Mary Blvd.SR-415 and Osceola Road <br> P220 |  |  |
|  |  | Aver | tistics |
| Classification | Type | Volume | Percentage |
| Class 1 <br> Class 2 <br> Class 3 <br> Class 4 <br> Class 5 <br> Class 6 <br> Class 7 <br> Class 8 <br> Class 9 <br> Class 10 <br> Class 11 <br> Class 12 <br> Class 13 <br> Class 14 <br> Class 15 | Motorcycles <br> Cars <br> Pick-Ups \& Vans Buses <br> 2 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 3 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 4 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 2 Axle Trctr with 1 or 2 Axle Trlr, 3 Axle Trctr with 1 Axle <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 2 Axle Trailer <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 3 Axle Trailer <br> 5 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 6 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 7 or more Axles <br> Not Used <br> Other | 60 7,979 2,501 120 1,042 10 0 241 3 0 1 1 0 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.50 \% \\ 66.73 \% \\ 20.91 \% \\ 1.00 \% \\ 8.71 \% \\ 0.08 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 2.02 \% \\ 0.03 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.01 \% \\ 0.01 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTALS |  | 11,958 | 100.00\% |

# Traffic Count Data <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. 

PROJECT
LOCATION CODE COUNT LOCATION VHB PROJECT \#
Equipment ID

| SR 46 PD\&E Study update |
| :--- |
| V-1 |
| SR-46 Btwn Osceola Road and Mullet Lake Park Road |
| 62518.58 T115 |
| P200/P238 |

TYPE OF COUNT: 72-Hour APPROACH VOLUME COUNT

TIME OF COUNT:

| Start Date | May 17, 2016 | Start Time | 12:00 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Date | May 21, 2016 | End Time | 12:00 AM |

VOLUME AVERAGES

|  | Total | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADT | 10,613 | 5,184 | 5,429 |
| Peak Hour | $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | to | $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ |
|  | Peak Hour Total |  |  |
|  | 1,008 | EB |  |
|  |  | 521 | WB |

MEASURED TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS
*Peak to Daily Ratio*

$$
K=\quad 9.50 \% \quad D=\quad 51.7 \%
$$

## Hourly Distribution of Traffic Volumes <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

| PROJECT | SR 46 PD\&E Study update |
| :--- | :--- |
| LOCATION CODE | V-1 |
| COUNT LOCATION | SR-46 Btwn Osceola Road and Mullet Lake Park Road |
| VHB PROJECT \# | 62518.58 T115 |
| Equipment ID |  |


| HOUR <br> END <br> AT | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (WB) | TOTAL <br> VOLUMES <br> BOTH <br> DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (WW) | TOTAL <br> PERCENT <br> BOTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:00 AM | 31 | 28 | 59 | $0.60 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ |  |
| 2:00 AM | 17 | 17 | 34 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| 3:00 AM | 18 | 15 | 33 | $0.35 \%$ | $0.32 \%$ |  |
| 4:00 AM | 18 | 24 | 42 | $0.35 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| 5:00 AM | 47 | 41 | 88 | $0.91 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| 6:00 AM | 153 | 153 | 306 | $2.95 \%$ | $2.82 \%$ | $2.88 \%$ |
| 7:00 AM | 369 | 334 | 703 | $7.12 \%$ | $6.15 \%$ | $6.62 \%$ |
| 8:00 AM | 438 | 472 | 910 | $8.45 \%$ | $8.69 \%$ | $8.57 \%$ |
| 9:00 AM | 362 | 393 | 755 | $6.98 \%$ | $7.24 \%$ | $7.11 \%$ |
| 10:00 AM | 267 | 268 | 535 | $5.15 \%$ | $4.94 \%$ | $5.04 \%$ |
| 11:00 AM | 247 | 258 | 505 | $4.76 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ | $4.76 \%$ |
| 12:00 PM | 230 | 282 | 512 | $4.44 \%$ | $5.19 \%$ | $4.82 \%$ |
| 1:00 PM | 239 | 318 | 557 | $4.61 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ | $5.25 \%$ |
| 2:00 PM | 231 | 292 | 523 | $4.46 \%$ | $5.38 \%$ | $4.93 \%$ |
| 3:00 PM | 258 | 319 | 577 | $4.98 \%$ | $5.88 \%$ | $5.44 \%$ |
| 4:00 PM | 322 | 346 | 668 | $6.21 \%$ | $6.37 \%$ | $6.29 \%$ |
| 5:00 PM | 434 | 465 | 899 | $8.37 \%$ | $8.57 \%$ | $8.47 \%$ |
| 6:00 PM | 513 | 483 | 996 | $9.90 \%$ | $8.90 \%$ | $9.38 \%$ |
| 7:00 PM | 334 | 341 | 675 | $6.44 \%$ | $6.28 \%$ | $6.36 \%$ |
| 8:00 PM | 219 | 206 | 425 | $4.22 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| 9:00 PM | 170 | 141 | 311 | $3.28 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | $2.93 \%$ |
| 10:00 PM | 123 | 110 | 233 | $2.37 \%$ | $2.03 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| 11:00 PM | 92 | 75 | 167 | $1.77 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ |
| 12:00 AM | 52 | 48 | 100 | $1.00 \%$ | $0.88 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 5,184 | 5,429 | 10,613 | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Traffic Count Data <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

PROJECT
LOCATION CODE COUNT LOCATION VHB PROJECT \#
Equipment ID

| SR 46 PD\&E Study update |
| :--- |
| V-2 |
| SR-46 Btwn. Mullet Lake Park Road and Woodridge Drive |
| 62518.58 T115 |
| P53/P40 |

TYPE OF COUNT: 72-Hour APPROACH VOLUME COUNT

TIME OF COUNT:

| Start Date | May 17, 2016 | Start Time | 12:00 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Date | May 21, 2016 | End Time | 12:00 AM |

VOLUME AVERAGES

|  | Total | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADT | 11,124 | 5,534 | 5,590 |
| Peak Hour | $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | to | $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ |
|  | Peak Hour Total |  |  |
|  | 1,037 | EB |  |
|  |  | 529 | WB |

MEASURED TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS
*Peak to Daily Ratio*

$$
K=\quad 9.32 \% \quad D=\quad 51.0 \%
$$

## Hourly Distribution of Traffic Volumes <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

PROJECT
LOCATION CODE COUNT LOCATION VHB PROJECT \# Equipment ID

SR 46 PD\&E Study update

| V-2 |
| :--- |
| SR-46 Btwn. Mullet Lake Park Road and Woodridge Drive |
| P2518.58 T115 |


| HOUR <br> END <br> AT | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (WB) | TOTAL <br> VOLUMES <br> BOTH <br> DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (WWB) | TOTAL <br> PERCENT <br> BOTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:00 AM | 29 | 29 | 58 | $0.52 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ |  |
| 2:00 AM | 22 | 13 | 35 | $0.40 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ |
| 3:00 AM | 19 | 16 | 35 | $0.34 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |  |
| 4:00 AM | 19 | 22 | 41 | $0.34 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| 5:00 AM | 49 | 45 | 94 | $0.89 \%$ | $0.81 \%$ | $0.37 \%$ |
| 6:00 AM | 158 | 159 | 317 | $2.86 \%$ | $2.84 \%$ | $2.85 \%$ |
| 7:00 AM | 396 | 337 | 733 | $7.16 \%$ | $6.03 \%$ | $6.59 \%$ |
| 8:00 AM | 460 | 475 | 935 | $8.31 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $8.41 \%$ |
| 9:00 AM | 394 | 394 | 788 | $7.12 \%$ | $7.05 \%$ | $7.08 \%$ |
| 10:00 AM | 283 | 272 | 555 | $5.11 \%$ | $4.87 \%$ | $4.99 \%$ |
| 11:00 AM | 261 | 270 | 531 | $4.72 \%$ | $4.83 \%$ | $4.77 \%$ |
| 12:00 PM | 249 | 282 | 531 | $4.50 \%$ | $5.04 \%$ | $4.77 \%$ |
| 1:00 PM | 251 | 324 | 575 | $4.54 \%$ | $5.80 \%$ | $5.17 \%$ |
| 2:00 PM | 262 | 302 | 564 | $4.73 \%$ | $5.40 \%$ | $5.07 \%$ |
| 3:00 PM | 306 | 325 | 631 | $5.53 \%$ | $5.81 \%$ | $5.67 \%$ |
| 4:00 PM | 356 | 370 | 726 | $6.43 \%$ | $6.62 \%$ | $6.53 \%$ |
| 5:00 PM | 454 | 488 | 942 | $8.20 \%$ | $8.73 \%$ | $8.47 \%$ |
| 6:00 PM | 523 | 497 | 1,020 | $9.45 \%$ | $8.89 \%$ | $9.17 \%$ |
| 7:00 PM | 348 | 354 | 702 | $6.29 \%$ | $6.33 \%$ | $6.31 \%$ |
| 8:00 PM | 230 | 212 | 442 | $4.16 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ | $3.97 \%$ |
| 9:00 PM | 184 | 154 | 338 | $3.32 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ |
| 10:00 PM | 139 | 122 | 261 | $2.51 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ | $2.35 \%$ |
| 11:00 PM | 89 | 80 | 169 | $1.61 \%$ | $1.43 \%$ | $1.52 \%$ |
| 12:00 AM | 53 | 48 | 101 | $0.96 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 5,534 | 5,590 | 11,124 | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE:
COUNT LOCATION:
EQUIPMENT ID: $\qquad$
62518.58 T 115

SR-46 West of CR-426 1st Street
P153

72 Hour Classification Count
TYPE OF COUNT:

Start Date: 5/17/2016
End Date: 5/19/2016
Start Time: Midnight End Time: Midnight

VOLUMES:
Average Daily: $\quad 10,051$
Daily Truck Avg: 1,434
Peak Hour Time: 4:45 PM
Average Peak Hour: 922
Max Hour Truck Avg: 147
Peak Hour Truck Avg: 117

TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS:

K MEASURED
$K=\quad 9.2 \%$
T Max Hour 16.0\%
T med (max) 10.6\%
Theavy (max) 5.4\%
T Peak Hour 12.7\%
T med Peak Hour 9.3\%
Theavy Peak Hour 3.4\%

D MEASURED
$D=52.8 \%$
T daily $14.3 \%$
T med Daily $\quad 9.6 \%$
T heavy Daily 4.7\%

## HOURLY DISTRIBUTIONS OF TRAFFIC VOLUMES

|  | VHB PROJECT NO: 62518.58 T115 <br> LOCATION CODE: C-3 COUNT LOCATION: SR-46 West of CR-426 1st Street EQUIPMENT ID: P153 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUR ENDING AT | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (NB OR EB) | HOURLY VOLUME DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL VOLUME BOTH DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUTION PERCENT DIRECTION (NB OREB) | DISTRIBUTION PERCENT DIRECTION (SB OR WB) | TOTAL PERCENT BOTH DIRECTIONS |
| 1:00 AM | 24 | 29 | 53 | 0.48\% | 0.57\% | 0.53\% |
| 2:00 AM | 17 | 16 | 33 | 0.35\% | 0.31\% | 0.33\% |
| 3:00 AM | 16 | 16 | 32 | 0.32\% | 0.31\% | 0.32\% |
| 4:00 AM | 21 | 20 | 41 | 0.42\% | 0.39\% | 0.40\% |
| 5:00 AM | 46 | 36 | 83 | 0.94\% | 0.71\% | 0.82\% |
| 6:00 AM | 150 | 140 | 290 | 3.05\% | 2.73\% | 2.89\% |
| 7:00 AM | 404 | 273 | 677 | 8.21\% | 5.32\% | 6.74\% |
| 8:00 AM | 440 | 386 | 826 | 8.96\% | 7.52\% | 8.22\% |
| 9:00 AM | 392 | 314 | 706 | 7.98\% | 6.11\% | 7.02\% |
| 10:00 AM | 268 | 247 | 515 | 5.46\% | 4.80\% | 5.12\% |
| 11:00 AM | 223 | 246 | 469 | 4.53\% | 4.79\% | 4.66\% |
| 12:00 PM | 220 | 261 | 481 | 4.47\% | 5.08\% | 4.78\% |
| 1:00 PM | 215 | 309 | 524 | 4.37\% | 6.02\% | 5.21\% |
| 2:00 PM | 214 | 279 | 494 | 4.36\% | 5.44\% | 4.91\% |
| 3:00 PM | 249 | 299 | 548 | 5.06\% | 5.83\% | 5.46\% |
| 4:00 PM | 281 | 356 | 638 | 5.72\% | 6.94\% | 6.34\% |
| 5:00 PM | 364 | 462 | 825 | 7.40\% | 8.99\% | 8.21\% |
| 6:00 PM | 443 | 476 | 920 | 9.02\% | 9.28\% | 9.15\% |
| 7:00 PM | 308 | 352 | 660 | 6.26\% | 6.86\% | 6.57\% |
| 8:00 PM | 207 | 206 | 413 | 4.21\% | 4.02\% | 4.11\% |
| 9:00 PM | 168 | 153 | 321 | 3.42\% | 2.97\% | 3.19\% |
| 10:00 PM | 123 | 126 | 249 | 2.50\% | 2.45\% | 2.48\% |
| 11:00 PM | 79 | 80 | 159 | 1.61\% | 1.56\% | 1.58\% |
| 12:00 AM | 44 | 51 | 95 | 0.89\% | 0.99\% | 0.94\% |
| TOTALS | 4,916 | 5,134 | 10,051 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |



| ANNUAL VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION REPORT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHB PROJECT NO: LOCATION CODE: COUNT LOCATION: EQUIPMENT ID: | 62518.58 T115 <br> C-3 <br> SR-46 West of CR-426 1st Street <br> P153 |  |  |
|  |  | Aver | tistics |
| Classification | Type | Volume | Percentage |
| Class 1 <br> Class 2 <br> Class 3 <br> Class 4 <br> Class 5 <br> Class 6 <br> Class 7 <br> Class 8 <br> Class 9 <br> Class 10 <br> Class 11 <br> Class 12 <br> Class 13 <br> Class 14 <br> Class 15 | Motorcycles <br> Cars <br> Pick-Ups \& Vans <br> Buses <br> 2 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 3 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 4 Axle, Single Unit Trucks <br> 2 Axle Trctr with 1 or 2 Axle Trlr, 3 Axle Trctr with 1 Axle <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 2 Axle Trailer <br> 3 Axle Tractor with 3 Axle Trailer <br> 5 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 6 Axle Multi Trailer <br> 7 or more Axles <br> Not Used <br> Other | $\begin{gathered} \hline 109 \\ 6,841 \\ 1,667 \\ 61 \\ 899 \\ 100 \\ 19 \\ 187 \\ 159 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.08 \% \\ 68.06 \% \\ 16.58 \% \\ 0.61 \% \\ 8.94 \% \\ 0.99 \% \\ 0.19 \% \\ 1.86 \% \\ 1.58 \% \\ 0.04 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.04 \% \\ 0.02 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTALS |  | 10,052 | 100.00\% |

# Traffic Count Data <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. 

PROJECT
LOCATION CODE COUNT LOCATION VHB PROJECT \#
Equipment ID

| SR 46 PD\&E Study update |
| :--- |
| V-3 |
| SR-46 East of CR-426-1st Street |
| 62518.58 T115 |
| P145/P247 |

TYPE OF COUNT: 72-Hour APPROACH VOLUME COUNT

TIME OF COUNT:

| Start Date | May 17, 2016 | Start Time | 12:00 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Date | May 21, 2016 | End Time | 12:00 AM |

VOLUME AVERAGES

|  | Total | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADT | 7,457 | 3,603 | 3,854 |
| Peak Hour | 5:00 PM to | 6:00 PM |  |
|  | Peak Hour Total | EB | WB |
|  | 640 | 345 | 295 |

MEASURED TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS
*Peak to Daily Ratio*

$$
K=\quad 8.58 \% \quad D=53.9 \%
$$

## Hourly Distribution of Traffic Volumes <br> Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

PROJECT
LOCATION CODE COUNT LOCATION VHB PROJECT \# Equipment ID

SR 46 PD\&E Study update
V-3
SR-46 East of CR-426-1st Street
62518.58 T 115

P145/P247

| HOUR <br> END <br> AT | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | HOURLY <br> VOLUME <br> DIRECTION <br> (WB) | TOTAL <br> VOLUMES <br> BOTH <br> DIRECTIONS | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (EB) | DISTRIBUTION <br> PERCENT <br> DIRECTION <br> (WB) | TOTAL <br> PERCENT <br> BOTH <br> DIRECTIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:00 AM | 22 | 20 | 42 | $0.61 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |
| 2:00 AM | 12 | 11 | 23 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| 3:00 AM | 14 | 12 | 26 | $0.39 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| 4:00 AM | 16 | 22 | 38 | $0.44 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ |
| 5:00 AM | 31 | 36 | 67 | $0.86 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ |
| 6:00 AM | 106 | 128 | 234 | $2.94 \%$ | $3.32 \%$ | $3.14 \%$ |
| 7:00 AM | 206 | 274 | 480 | $5.72 \%$ | $7.11 \%$ | $6.44 \%$ |
| 8:00 AM | 246 | 354 | 600 | $6.83 \%$ | $9.19 \%$ | $8.05 \%$ |
| 9:00 AM | 217 | 269 | 486 | $6.02 \%$ | $6.98 \%$ | $6.52 \%$ |
| 10:00 AM | 187 | 191 | 378 | $5.19 \%$ | $4.96 \%$ | $5.07 \%$ |
| 11:00 AM | 179 | 199 | 378 | $4.97 \%$ | $5.16 \%$ | $5.07 \%$ |
| 12:00 PM | 178 | 222 | 400 | $4.94 \%$ | $5.76 \%$ | $5.36 \%$ |
| 1:00 PM | 186 | 241 | 427 | $5.16 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ | $5.73 \%$ |
| 2:00 PM | 190 | 225 | 415 | $5.27 \%$ | $5.84 \%$ | $5.57 \%$ |
| 3:00 PM | 205 | 217 | 422 | $5.69 \%$ | $5.63 \%$ | $5.66 \%$ |
| 4:00 PM | 239 | 226 | 465 | $6.63 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ | $6.24 \%$ |
| 5:00 PM | 291 | 294 | 585 | $8.08 \%$ | $7.63 \%$ | $7.84 \%$ |
| 6:00 PM | 345 | 295 | 640 | $9.58 \%$ | $7.65 \%$ | $8.58 \%$ |
| 7:00 PM | 239 | 229 | 468 | $6.63 \%$ | $5.94 \%$ | $6.28 \%$ |
| 8:00 PM | 162 | 127 | 289 | $4.50 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ |
| 9:00 PM | 124 | 91 | 215 | $3.44 \%$ | $2.36 \%$ | $2.88 \%$ |
| 10:00 PM | 104 | 74 | 178 | $2.89 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | $2.39 \%$ |
| 11:00 PM | 68 | 64 | 132 | $1.89 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ | $1.77 \%$ |
| 12:00 AM | 36 | 33 | 69 | $1.00 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 3,603 | 3,854 | 7,457 | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |







2015 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 7700 SEMINOLE COUNTYWIDE

| WEEK | DATES | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOCF : } 0.98 \\ & \text { PSCF } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2015-01/03/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 2 | 01/04/2015-01/10/2015 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 3 | 01/11/2015-01/17/2015 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| 4 | 01/18/2015-01/24/2015 | 1.04 | 1.06 |
| 5 | 01/25/2015-01/31/2015 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 6 | 02/01/2015-02/07/2015 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 7 | 02/08/2015-02/14/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| * 8 | 02/15/2015-02/21/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| * 9 | 02/22/2015-02/28/2015 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| * 10 | 03/01/2015-03/07/2015 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *11 | 03/08/2015-03/14/2015 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| *12 | 03/15/2015-03/21/2015 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| *13 | 03/22/2015-03/28/2015 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| * 14 | 03/29/2015-04/04/2015 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *15 | 04/05/2015-04/11/2015 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *16 | 04/12/2015-04/18/2015 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| * 17 | 04/19/2015-04/25/2015 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *18 | 04/26/2015-05/02/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| *19 | 05/03/2015-05/09/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| * 20 | 05/10/2015-05/16/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 21 | 05/17/2015-05/23/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 22 | 05/24/2015-05/30/2015 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 23 | 05/31/2015-06/06/2015 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 24 | 06/07/2015-06/13/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 25 | 06/14/2015-06/20/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 26 | 06/21/2015-06/27/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 27 | 06/28/2015-07/04/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 28 | 07/05/2015-07/11/2015 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 29 | 07/12/2015-07/18/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 30 | 07/19/2015-07/25/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 31 | 07/26/2015-08/01/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 32 | 08/02/2015-08/08/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 33 | 08/09/2015-08/15/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 34 | 08/16/2015-08/22/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 35 | 08/23/2015-08/29/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 36 | 08/30/2015-09/05/2015 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 37 | 09/06/2015-09/12/2015 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 38 | 09/13/2015-09/19/2015 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 39 | 09/20/2015-09/26/2015 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 40 | 09/27/2015-10/03/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 41 | 10/04/2015-10/10/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 42 | 10/11/2015-10/17/2015 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 43 | 10/18/2015-10/24/2015 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 44 | 10/25/2015-10/31/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 45 | 11/01/2015-11/07/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 46 | 11/08/2015-11/14/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 47 | 11/15/2015-11/21/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 48 | 11/22/2015-11/28/2015 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 49 | 11/29/2015-12/05/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 50 | 12/06/2015-12/12/2015 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 51 | 12/13/2015-12/19/2015 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 52 | 12/20/2015-12/26/2015 | 1.04 | 1.06 |
| 53 | 12/27/2015-12/31/2015 | 1.06 | 1.08 |

* PEAK SEASON


## Appendix B

- Sub Area Model Validation Supporting Documents


SR 46 Planning Study Area TAZ Map



Year 2009 Base OUATS - Total Traffic Volumes (PSWADT) SR 46 study After validation


E:IFSUTMSID5\OUATS.40\Base\SR46Before\AfterlOutput|HRLDXY_B09.NET 9/2/2016

## SR 46 Study

- Year 2009 Validation Link Report

|  Roadway Name <br> SL  <br> No  | Location | Count Source | Year 2009 <br> AADT <br> Count | Facility <br> Type <br> Before | 2009 Model PSWADT Before Validation | 2009 Model <br> AADT Before Validation Total | Facility <br> Type <br> After | 2009 Model PSWADT After Validation | 2009 Model <br> AADT After <br> Validation Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 SR 46 | W. of SR 415 | Seminole County | 10,989 | 31 | 11,147 | 10,924 | 31 | 9,363 | 9,176 |
| 2 SR 46 | SR 415 to Osceola Rd | Seminole County | 11,328 | 31 | 11,072 | 10,851 | 31 | 11,545 | 11,314 |
| 3 SR 46 | Osceola Rd to CR 426 | FTI | 8,000 | 31 | 9,792 | 9,596 | 31 | 8,069 | 7,908 |
| 4 SR 46 | E. of CR 426 | Seminole County | 6,244 | 31 | 6,176 | 6,052 | 31 | 5,896 | 5,778 |
| 5 SR 415 | S. of Volusia County Line | Seminole County | 17,131 | 31 | 17,963 | 17,604 | 31 | 18,636 | 18,263 |
| 6 SR 415 | N. of SR 46 | Seminole County | 16,392 | 45 | 15,215 | 14,911 | 45 | 15,679 | 15,365 |
| 7 E. Lake Mary Blvd | S. of SR 46 | Seminole County | 11,299 | 22 | 9,721 | 9,527 | 22 | 12,327 | 12,080 |
| 8 CR 426 | S. of SR 46 | Seminole County | 8,114 | 43 | 9,172 | 8,989 | 43 | 7,135 | 6,992 |
| 9 CR 426 | S. of Old Mims Rd | Seminole County | 7,425 | 43 | 6,238 | 6,113 | 43 | 5,996 | 5,876 |
| Notes: 1) When not available, 2009 AADT volume was interpolated using 2008 and 2010 data (S1\# 2 and 7); For CR 426, 2010 data was used (because 2009 counts were not comparable to other year counts) <br> 2) A MOCF of 0.98 (source: 2009 FTI DVD) was used to convert PSWADT to AADT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C

- Pages from MetroPlan Orlando TIP and LRTP for SR 46
- 2040 model volume plots

MetroPlan Orlando
Transportation Improvement Program
State Highway Projects
Seminole County


| TABLE 7: STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM (SIS) PROJ ECTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway | From | To | Improvement | Phase(s) | Funded by |
| I-4 | Polk/ Osceola County Line | SR 435/ Kirkman Rd | Ultimate Configuration for General Use \& Managed Lanes | D, R, C | 2025 |
| I-4 | SR 434 | Seminole/ Volusia Co. Line | Ultimate Configuration for General Use \& Managed Lanes | D, R, C | 2025 |
| Wekiva Parkway ** | US 441 | 1-4 | New Expressway | D, R, C | 2025 |
| SR 528/ Beachline Expressway | I-4 | Florida's Turnpike | Widen to 8 Lanes | P, D, R, C | 2030 |
| * Transportation Improvement Program (TIP 2016-2020) <br> ** Refer to Prioritized Project List (PPL) <br> $P=$ Project Development \& Engineering (PD\&E), D = Design, $\mathrm{R}=$ Right of Way (ROW), C = Construction |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8: FEDERAL \& STATE FUNDED COST FEASIBLE PROJ ECTS

| Roadway | From | To | Improvement | Phase(s) to be funded | Funded by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 46 | Mellonville Ave. | SR 415 | Widen to 4 Lanes | C | 2020* |
| SR 434/ Forest City Rd. | Edgewater Dr. | Orange/ Seminole Co. Line | Widen to 6 Lanes | C | 2020* |
| SR 423/J ohn Young Pkwy. | SR 50 | Shader Rd. | Widen to 6 Lanes | C | 2020* |
| SR 434 | at CR 427 |  | Improve Intersection | P, D, R, C | 2020* |
| SR 434 | Range Line Rd. | US 17/ 92 | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements | P, D, R, C | 2020* |
| Hoagland Blvd. Phase 2 | US 17/ 92 | 5th St. | Widen to 4 Lanes/ Realign | C | 2020* |
| SR 414/ Maitland Blvd. | 1-4 | Maitland Ave. | Widen to 6 Lanes | R, C | 2020* |
| SR 434 | Smith St. | Franklin St. | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 1 | C | 2020* |
| SR 426/ CR 419 | Pine Ave. | Avenue B | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 2 | R, C | 2025 |
| CR 419 | Avenue B | W of Lockwood Blvd. | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 3 | R, C | 2025 |
| SR 50 | E. Old Cheney Hwy. | SR 520 | Widen to 6 Lanes | R, C | 2025 |
| SR 527/ Orange Ave. | SR 482/ Sand Lake Rd. | SR 15/ Hoffner Ave. | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | P, C | 2025 |
| SR 434/ Alafaya Tr. | SR 50 | McCulloch Rd. | Multimodal/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | P, D, C | 2025 |
| SR 15/ 600/ US 17/ 92 \& Lee Rd Ext | Norfolk Ave SR15/ 600/ US 17/ 92 | Monroe <br> St./ Denning Dr | Construct medians/ improve Intersection/ Extend Road | P, D, R, C | 2025 |
| SR 46 | SR 415 | CR 426 | Safety Improvements Phase 1 | P, D, R, C | 2025 |
| SR 46 | SR 415 | CR 426 | Widen to 4 Lanes - Phase 2 | P, D, R, C | 2025 |
| J ohn Young Pkwy. | Pleasant Hill Rd. | Portage St. | Widen to 6 Lanes | C | 2025 |
| SR 535 | Orange/ Osceola Co. Line | 1-4 | Widen to 6 Lanes (2 miles) and 8 Lanes ( 1.5 miles) PD\&E Only | P, D, R, C | 2025 |
| SR 438/ Silver Star Rd | SR 429 | Bluford Ave | Widen to 4 Lanes - PD\&E Only | P | 2025 |
| SR 527/ Orange Ave | Pineloch Ave | Anderson St | Multimodal / CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | P | 2025 |
| SR 436 | US 17/ 92 | Wilshire Dr. | Widen to 8 Lanes/ CSS Improvements - PD\&E Only | P | 2025 |
| SR 436 | Newburyport Ave | CR 427/ Ronald Reagan Blvd. | Intersection Improvements <br> - PD\&E Only | P | 2025 |

Note: For detailed information related to the estimated cost for each project phase, see page 15 of this Technical Report \#3.



## Appendix D

- Historical Trends Analysis Sheets

Traffic Trends - V3.0
SR 46 -- 100' East of Beardall Ave


| County: | Seminole (77) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | Sem-272 |
| Highway: | SR 46 |



*Axle-Adjusted

Traffic Trends - V3.0
SR 46 -- 500' E of SR 415 to Osceola Rd


| County: | Seminole (77) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | Sem-273 |
| Highway: | SR 46 |



*Axle-Adjusted

Traffic Trends - V3.0
SR 46 -- On Torrent Point St-Osceola Rd to CR 426


| County: | Seminole (77) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | Sem-274 |
| Highway: | SR 46 |



| ** Annual Trend Increase: | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Trend R-squared: | 2.37\% |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | 0.45\% |
| Trend Growth Rate (2015 to Design Year): | 0.45\% |
| Printed: | 29-Aug-16 |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

Traffic Trends - V3.0
SR 46 -- CR 426 to Volusia County Line


| County: | Seminole (77) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | Sem-275 |
| Highway: | SR 46 |



*Axle-Adjusted

## Appendix E

- BEBR Population Projection Data


# Projections of Florida Population by County, 2020-2045, with Estimates for 2015 

Stefan Rayer, Population Program Director<br>Ying Wang, Research Demographer

The Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) has been making population projections for Florida and its counties since the 1970s. This report presents our most recent set of projections and describes the methodology used to construct those projections. To account for uncertainty regarding future population growth, we publish three series of projections. We believe the medium series is the most likely to provide accurate forecasts in most circumstances, but the low and high series provide an indication of the uncertainty surrounding the medium series. It should be noted that these projections refer solely to permanent residents of Florida; they do not include tourists or seasonal residents.

## State projections

The starting point for the state-level projections was the 2010 census count by age and sex as reported by the U.S. Census Bureau. Projections were made in five-year intervals using a cohort-component methodology in which births, deaths, and migration were projected separately for each age/sex group. We applied three different sets of assumptions to provide low, medium, and high series of projections. Although the low and high series do not provide absolute bounds on future population growth, they offer a reasonable range in which Florida's future population is likely to fall.

Survival rates were applied to each age/sex group to project future deaths in the population. These rates were based on Florida Life Tables for 2009-2011, using mortality data published by the Office of Vital Statistics in the Florida Department of Health. The survival rates were adjusted upward in 2020, 2025,

2030, 2035, and 2040 to account for projected increases in life expectancy. These adjustments were based on projected increases in survival rates released by the U.S. Census Bureau. We used the same mortality assumptions for all three series of projections because there is much less uncertainty regarding future changes in mortality rates than is true for migration and fertility rates.

Domestic migration rates by age and sex were based on data from Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) files from the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS). Since migration estimates from the ACS cover a one-year period, we developed a methodology for converting one-year data into five-year data. Using PUMS files, IRS migration records, and 1990 and 2000 census data, we developed a set of conversion factors and applied them to the 2009-2013 PUMS data. The conversion process raised the one-year migration estimates by a factor of 3.4 for in-migration and by 3.0 for out-migration. We calculated in-migration rates by dividing the number of persons moving to Florida from other states by the 2011 population of the United States (minus Florida) and calculated outmigration rates by dividing the number of persons leaving Florida by Florida's 2011 population. In both instances, rates were calculated separately for males and females for each five-year age group up to 85+.

These in- and out-migration rates were weighted to account for recent changes in Florida's population growth rates and to provide alternative scenarios regarding future growth. For each of the three series, projections of domestic in-migration were made by applying weighted in-migration rates to the projected

Projections of Florida Population by County,
2020-2045, with Estimates for 2015 (continued)

| County | Estimates |  |  | Projections | ril 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and State | April 1, 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 |
| SANTA ROSA | 162,925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 167,400 | 172,900 | 177,500 | 180,600 | 182,800 | 184,300 |
| Medium |  | 178,700 | 192,900 | 205,100 | 216,100 | 226,600 | 236,800 |
| High |  | 187,800 | 208,500 | 228,900 | 249,200 | 270,100 | 291,800 |
| SARASOTA | 392,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 395,000 | 399,500 | 403,200 | 403,000 | 400,300 | 397,200 |
| Medium |  | 415,900 | 436,600 | 453,900 | 467,000 | 478,100 | 489,300 |
| High |  | 434,300 | 467,300 | 499,200 | 528,400 | 556,100 | 584,700 |
| SEMINOLE | 442,903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 450,200 | 458,900 | 466,200 | 470,400 | 472,000 | 471,500 |
| Medium |  | 474,500 | 502,100 | 525,400 | 545,800 | 563,900 | 580,600 |
| High |  | 494,900 | 536,800 | 577,300 | 616,800 | 655,600 | 694,200 |
| SUMTER | 115,657 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 128,100 | 141,100 | 152,800 | 162,400 | 170,000 | 175,500 |
| Medium |  | 141,000 | 165,200 | 187,900 | 209,600 | 230,500 | 250,700 |
| High |  | 149,500 | 180,500 | 213,200 | 247,700 | 283,900 | 322,000 |
| SUWANNEE | 44,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 44,200 | 44,400 | 44,600 | 44,500 | 44,300 | 43,800 |
| Medium |  | 47,000 | 49,300 | 51,300 | 53,200 | 54,800 | 56,300 |
| High |  | 49,600 | 53,500 | 57,500 | 61,400 | 65,400 | 69,300 |
| TAYLOR | 22,824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 22,000 | 21,600 | 21,300 | 21,000 | 20,500 | 20,000 |
| Medium |  | 23,400 | 23,900 | 24,400 | 24,800 | 25,100 | 25,400 |
| High |  | 24,700 | 26,100 | 27,400 | 28,800 | 30,100 | 31,400 |
| UNION | 15,918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 15,400 | 15,200 | 15,000 | 14,800 | 14,500 | 14,200 |
| Medium |  | 16,600 | 17,200 | 17,700 | 18,200 | 18,700 | 19,100 |
| High |  | 17,700 | 18,900 | 20,200 | 21,500 | 22,800 | 24,200 |
| VOLUSIA | 510,494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 514,600 | 520,000 | 524,500 | 524,300 | 523,500 | 521,300 |
| Medium |  | 535,800 | 557,300 | 574,100 | 585,900 | 598,000 | 608,700 |
| High |  | 554,600 | 589,800 | 622,800 | 651,700 | 681,200 | 710,300 |
| WAKULLA | 31,283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 31,500 | 32,000 | 32,400 | 32,700 | 32,900 | 32,800 |
| Medium |  | 33,500 | 35,600 | 37,400 | 39,100 | 40,700 | 42,200 |
| High |  | 35,300 | 38,600 | 41,800 | 45,200 | 48,600 | 52,000 |
| WALTON | 60,687 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 64,000 | 67,600 | 70,900 | 73,400 | 74,700 | 75,400 |
| Medium |  | 69,300 | 77,200 | 84,400 | 91,100 | 96,700 | 102,100 |
| High |  | 73,200 | 84,000 | 95,200 | 106,600 | 117,600 | 128,700 |
| WASHINGTON | 24,975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 24,400 | 24,200 | 24,000 | 23,600 | 23,100 | 22,500 |
| Medium |  | 25,900 | 26,800 | 27,400 | 27,900 | 28,300 | 28,700 |
| High |  | 27,400 | 29,200 | 30,900 | 32,400 | 33,900 | 35,400 |
| FLORIDA | 19,815,183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low |  | 20,726,400 | 21,588,200 | 22,364,100 | 23,027,000 | 23,596,600 | 24,097,600 |
| Medium |  | 21,372,200 | 22,799,500 | 24,071,000 | 25,212,400 | 26,252,100 | 27,217,600 |
| High |  | 22,028,800 | 23,908,700 | 25,614,700 | 27,204,800 | 28,694,700 | 30,113,600 |

Bureau of Economic and Business Research
College of Liberal Arts and Sciences
720 SW $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, Suite 150, P.O. Box 117148
Gainesville, Florida 32611-7148

Phone (352) 392-0171
Fax (352) 392-4739 www.bebr.ufl.edu

## Appendix F

- FDOT Generalized Daily Service Volumes for Rural Developed Area
- Traffic Forecasts for Interim Years (2017-2044)

TABLE 3
Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's Rural Undeveloped Areas and Developed Areas Less Than 5,000 Population ${ }^{1}$

12/18/12

| INTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  | UNINTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE SIGNALIZED ARTERIALS |  |  |  |  | FREEWAYS |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | B | C | D | E | Lanes | B | C | D | E |
| 2 | * | 12,900 | 14,200 | ** | 4 | 28,800 | 43,000 | 52,300 | 60,000 |
| 4 | * | 29,300 | 30,400 | ** | 6 | 43,000 | 64,000 | 78,300 | 92,500 |
| 6 | * | 45,200 | 45,800 | ** | 8 | 57,500 | 85,400 | 104,400 | 123,500 |
| Non-State Signalized Roadway Adjustments <br> (Alter corresponding state volumes by the indicated percent.) <br> Non-State Signalized Roadways - 10\% |  |  |  |  | Freeway Adjustments Auxiliary Lanes Present in Both Directions$+20,000$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Median \& Turn Lane Adjustments |  |  |  | UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Lanes } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Exclusive Left Lanes | Right L | anes | Factors | Rural Undeveloped |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Yes No | No |  | +5\% | Lanes | Median | B |  | E |
| Multi | Yes | No |  | -5\% | 2 | Undivided | 4,700 | $0 \quad 14,300$ | 28,600 |
| Multi | No | NoYes |  | -25\% | 4 | Divided | 25,700 | 0 51,000 | 57,900 |
| , | - |  |  | + 5\% | 6 | Divided | 38,800 | 0 76,700 | 86,800 |
| One-Way Facility Adjustment <br> Multiply the corresponding two-directional volumes in this table by 0.6 |  |  |  |  | Lanes Median Developed Areas |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Undivided | 8,700 | 0 23,100 | 31,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | Divided | 25,900 | -52,400 | 59,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6 | Divided | 38,800 | 0 78,400 | 89,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Passing Lane Adjustments <br> Alter LOS B-D volumes in proportion to the passing lane length to the highway segment length |  |  |  |  |
| BICYCLE MODE ${ }^{2}$ <br> (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Uninterrupted Flow Highway Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lanes | Median | Exclusive le | S Adjustm | ent factors |
| Rural Undeveloped |  |  |  |  | 2 | Divided | Yes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Multi | Undivided | Yes |  |  |
| Shoul |  |  |  |  | Multi | Undivided | No |  | $5 \%$ |
| Lane | B | C | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 1,300 | 2,000 | 3,200 | ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ Values shown are presented as two-way annual average daily volumes for levels of service and are for the automobile/truck modes unless specifically stated. This table |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 | 2,100 | 3,200 | 10,600 | does not | onstitute a stand | nd should be us | for general plann | ng |
|  | 2,600 | 3,900 | 18,500 | >18,500 | applicat more spe | s. The computer fic planning app | dels from which ions. The table | ble is derived shou iving computer mo | ld be used for dels should |
|  | Developed Areas |  |  |  | not be used for corridor or intersection design, where more refined techniques exist. Calculations are based on planning applications of the Highway Capacity Manual and the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shou |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ Level of service for the bicycle and pedestrian modes in this table is based on number of motorized vehicles, not number of bicyclists or pedestrians using the facility. |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | B | C | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 2,300 | 4,900 | 15,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,700 | 4,500 | 13,300 | 18,500 | * Cannot be achieved using table input value defaults. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,900 | 18,500 | 18,500 |  | ** Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. For the automobile mode, volumes greater than level of service $D$ become $F$ because intersection capacities have been reached. For the bicycle mode, the level of service letter grade (including F) is not achievable because there is no maximum vehicle volume threshold using table input value defaults. |  |  |  |  |
| PEDESTRIAN MODE ${ }^{2}$ <br> (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewa | B | C | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * |  | 2,700 | 9,200 | Source: |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 1,500 | 8,400 | 14,900 | Florida Department of TransportationSystems Planning Office |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,600 | 10,200 | 16,700 | >19,200 | www.dot.state.fl. us/planning/systems/sm/los/default.shtm |  |  |  |  |

Interim Year Traffic Forecasts

|  | SR 46: SR 415 to Osceola Rd | SR 46: Osceola Rd to Mullet Lake Park Rd | SR 46: Mullet Lake Park Rd to Woodridge | SR 46: Woodridge Dr to CR 426 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 12,000 | 10,100 | 10,600 | 10,100 |
| 2017 | 12400 | 10400 | 10900 | 10400 |
| 2018 | 12800 | 10700 | 11300 | 10700 |
| 2019 | 13200 | 11100 | 11600 | 11100 |
| 2020 | 13500 | 11400 | 12000 | 11400 |
| 2021 | 13900 | 11700 | 12300 | 11700 |
| 2022 | 14300 | 12000 | 12600 | 12000 |
| 2023 | 14700 | 12400 | 13000 | 12400 |
| 2024 | 15100 | 12700 | 13300 | 12700 |
| 2025 | 15500 | 13000 | 13700 | 13000 |
| 2026 | 15800 | 13300 | 14000 | 13300 |
| 2027 | 16200 | 13700 | 14300 | 13700 |
| 2028 | 16600 | 14000 | 14700 | 14000 |
| 2029 | 17000 | 14300 | 15000 | 14300 |
| 2030 | 17400 | 14600 | 15300 | 14600 |
| 2031 | 17800 | 14900 | 15700 | 14900 |
| 2032 | 18100 | 15300 | 16000 | 15300 |
| 2033 | 18500 | 15600 | 16400 | 15600 |
| 2034 | 18900 | 15900 | 16700 | 15900 |
| 2035 | 19300 | 16200 | 17000 | 16200 |
| 2036 | 19700 | 16600 | 17400 | 16600 |
| 2037 | 20100 | 16900 | 17700 | 16900 |
| 2038 | 20400 | 17200 | 18100 | 17200 |
| 2039 | 20800 | 17500 | 18400 | 17500 |
| 2040 | 21200 | 17900 | 18700 | 17900 |
| 2041 | 21600 | 18200 | 19100 | 18200 |
| 2042 | 22000 | 18500 | 19400 | 18500 |
| 2043 | 22400 | 18800 | 19800 | 18800 |
| 2044 | 22800 | 19100 | 20100 | 19100 |
| 2045 | 23100 | 19500 | 20400 | 19500 |

## Appendix G

- Figure 5-1 Project Traffic Assumption Summary

| Traffic forecast for the project was developed using: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Travel Demand Model | $\square$ Growth Rates |
| Type of Travel Demand Model Used: Metropolitan Planning Model Other Model | Refer to appropriate section of Project Traffic Analysis Report that discusses growth rates <br> Section 4 |
| Is the travel demand model based on the latest adopted Long Range Transportation Plan? |  |
| $\square$ YES | $\square \mathrm{NO}$ |
| 06/11/2014 Date when MPO adopted the latest Long Range Transportation Plan | Explain why? |
| 2009 Base Year of Travel Demand Model |  |
| 2040 Horizon Year of Travel Demand Model |  |
| Long Range Transportation Plan documentation is available at (provide web address): <br> https://metroplanorlando.org/plans/long-range-transportation-plan/ |  |
| Traffic Data and Factors |  |
| $\qquad$ <br> Standard K = NA <br> D Factor = NA <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Daily }}=\mathrm{NA}$ <br> Note: A daily level LOS analysis was conducted for design year 2045. Traffic factors were not developed as part of this update. |  |
| Discuss any changes in land use, economics, population and employment data since the model was built <br> None |  |

Figure 5-1 Project Traffic Assumption Summary

